## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of: The Rocky ) Docket No:
Mountain Power Application for ) 10-035-89
Alternative Cost Recovery for )
Major Plant Additions - Populus )
to Ben Lomond Transmission Line )
and Dunlap I Wind Project )

## TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT: Public Service Commission

160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE: September 28, 2010

TIME: 3:02 p.m.

REPORTED BY: Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

```
1
                            APPEARANCES
 2
     Commissioners:
 3
     Ted Boyer (Chairman)
     Ric Campbell
     Ron Allen
 4
 5
                               -000-
 6
     For Rocky Mountain Power:
 7
     PAUL J. HICKEY, ESQ.
     HICKEY & EVANS, LLP
         Post Office Box 467
 8
         1800 Carey Avenue, Suite 700
         Cheyenne, Wyoming 82003-0467
 9
         (307) 634-1525
         (307) 638-7335 (fax)
10
11
     For the Division of Public Utilities:
12
     PATRICIA E. SCHMID, ESQ.
     UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
13
         160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
         Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
14
         (801) 366-0380
         (801) 366-0352 (fax)
15
     For the Utah Office of Consumer Services:
16
     PAUL H. PROCTOR, ESQ.
17
     OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
         160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
         Post Office Box 140857
18
         Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0857
19
         (801) 366-0353
         (801) 366-0352 (fax)
20
     For the UIEC:
21
     F. ROBERT REEDER, ESQ.
22
     VICKI M. BALDWIN, ESQ.
     PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER
23
         One Utah Center
         201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
24
         Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
         (801) 532-1234
25
         (801) 536-6111 (fax)
                                                            2
```

```
1
                              APPEARANCES, CONTINUED
 2
       For the UAE Intervention Group:
 3
       GARY A. DODGE, ESQ.
      HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
(801) 363-6363
 4
 5
             (801) 363-6666 (fax)
 6
                                           -000-
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                                  3
```

SEPTEMBER 28, 2010

3:02 P.M.

## <u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

CHAIRMAN BOYER: We might as well just go on the record because basically we're hearing legal arguments this morning. Afternoon it is now.

This is in Docket No. 10-035-89. And it's the time duly noticed for the hearing of arguments on UIEC's Motion to Defer Recovery of the Major Plant Addition Costs.

And inasmuch as it's legal we won't have any sworn witnesses or anything like that. So we hear from the Company first and those supporting the Company's motion, which I guess would be the Division at least in part.

And then we'll hear from those who oppose the motion. And then we'll give the -- those moving parties the last bite at the apple at the end. And then we'll see where we go from there. So let's, let's take appearances. Let's begin with Mr. Hickey.

MR. HICKEY: Chairman Boyer, members of the Commission, nice to see all of you again and your staff, as well as the parties. Paul Hickey, of Hickey & Evans, representing the Company. And with me at counsel table is Dave Taylor, the State director of regulatory affairs.

1	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Welcome back,
2	Mr. Hickey.
3	Ms. Schmid?
4	MS. SCHMID: Patricia E. Schmid, with the
5	Attorney General's Office, representing the Division
6	of Public Utilities.
7	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And I'm told you had an
8	incident with your steed the other day. Are you okay?
9	MS. SCHMID: Yes. And the steed is fine as
10	well.
11	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Well, you first and
12	then the steed is my priority.
13	MS. SCHMID: All my friends did not ask the
14	questions in that order.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor?
16	MR. PROCTOR: Paul Proctor on behalf of the
17	Utah Office of Consumer Services.
18	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you.
19	And Mr. Dodge?
20	MR. DODGE: Gary Dodge on behalf of UAE.
21	CHAIRMAN BOYER: And Mr. Reeder?
22	MR. REEDER: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners,
23	I am Robert Reeder with Parsons, Behle & Latimer.
24	With me is Vicki Baldwin, sitting in the front row, to
25	make sure that I don't screw things up. We appear for
	5

UIEC.

And oh, by the way, we happen to be the moving party.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: You are the moving party. I was a little confused. I've been on vacation. I wasn't thrown from my horse, but I was thrown from my wakeboard several times down at Lake Powell.

Right, okay. Let's correct the record to reflect that UIEC is the moving party here. And apparently the Division will support, at least in part, that motion. And the other -- the Company and the Office will be opposing.

I think I've got that right. But if not, you'll straighten me out as we proceed. So with that, Mr. Reeder?

MR. REEDER: Thank you. We filed two briefs in this case. The two briefs were our motion and the attendant pieces to that motion, and then our response brief. We trust you've had the opportunity to read them.

And we suspect that you've probably got some questions associated with some of the things that we've said with respect to them, and so I'm prepared to answer your questions whenever you're ready to ask them.

But if you'd like, I can start with a brief summary of where we are. Kind of set a context for the questions. And maybe answer some of your questions in the summary.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, let's do that. But let me assure you that you're right on both counts. We have a few questions, and we have read all of the briefs.

MR. REEDER: The summary begins with the basic proposition that in Utah we have a judicial document called the Bar Against the Single-Item Rate Case. Justice Maughan announced that bar in a wage case several years ago.

There were a couple of exceptions to that policy. And the reason for that policy, by the way, is to make sure that rates are just and reasonable. To make sure that you have before you all of the information necessary for you to determine that all of the costs and benefits are correctly aligned so that the end price reflects the best price.

Two exceptions are Justice Maughan's theory that there could be an abbreviated case. An abbreviated case, if you had some comfort that all of the pluses and minuses were relatively constant and there was an extraordinary cost, you could do that.

In that case, as you may recall, he said the mere passage of time, six months, was enough that you couldn't have that comfort.

The second exception is the major plant addition statute that the legislature enacted a couple of years ago. And that statute's a fairly narrow statute. It gives to you the authority, under a limited set of circumstances, to allow rates to be changed.

Provided the Utility comes to you and shows you all of the costs and benefits, you can work with the statute. And provided the Utility brings to you the appropriate billing determinants with respect to determination.

There -- that's the architecture of the statute that we're dealing with. The architecture of that statute then gives you fairly limited kinds of discretion because it is an exception to the judicial bar.

And I think the words of the Supreme Court in the Logan City case we're probably all familiar with by now said in the absence of a clear grant of discretion, none is inferred. In fact, there's a presumption against discretion where none is granted.

So we look at the statute to see what kind of

discretion there is inside of the statute. Taking that architecture in the statute and applying it to the facts in this case, there are two tranches in this case for relief requested.

The first tranche is major plant one, tranche one I think it is, Ben Lomond to Terminal. Part of Gateway and some associated investments. And tranche two, that is Populus to Ben Lomond.

In the first tranche we all agreed, and the Company asked, that the matter be deferred until a subsequent point in time. It was deferred, from our view, not only because the Company asked but because there were some serious questions if all of the pluses and minuses would be met -- could be met.

There were some things that you needed to decide, and better information that needed to be made available before you could make that decision. So it was deferred by an agreement.

With respect to that part, that first tranche as we'll call it, we think the law is very clear. We think that the statute provides that when a matter has been deferred that it's deferred to a general rate case. I don't find the word "discretion" in there anywhere. It's deferred for resolution in a general rate case.

Now, there is an alternative to that. And that alternative would be if you chose to exercise your authority under 54-4-2, and you commence an investigation. And when you do, you can do as you've done in the past -- not necessarily this Commission but prior Commissions -- investigate the Utility's rates to determine they're reasonable or not.

But if you do that, there are a couple of important things that happen. The timelines all disappear. The 150 days we're operating on disappears. And the remedies that are available to you get to be different kinds of remedies.

So if we look at ending the deferral, with respect to the first tranche the statute is fairly clear: Unless you exercise authority that you have in the statute. And you haven't done that.

So at this point in this case, with respect to the first tranche in the case, it has to be deferred to the next general rate case of the Utility to be resolved there and folded into rates. Or it could be resolved in several cases. I think that the -- I think the statute is actually plural, plural on the issue.

Now, the Division agrees with us with respect to the part of the case where the deferral has already

accrued -- not the \$15 million fees -- but they say prospectively you've got discretion. Search as I can the part of the statute where it talks about flowing it to a general rate case, I can't find the word "discretion."

It seems you have the discretion if you commence an investigation, but that hasn't happened. So I find difficulty with the position of the Division that you can separate between what has accrued and what may accrue, and then exercise discretion with respect to it. That's just not in the statute.

And I see nothing in the statute to support the position that Rocky Mountain advances that there's discretion with respect to doing it.

That then takes us to the second tranche in the case. The second tranche in the case is the second part of the Gateway project and the associated investments, I think it's Dunlap. Here I concede you have discretion. This is a new case.

You get to decide how you want to do it. You get to decide whether or not the Utility has met its burden of coming forward by showing the pluses and minuses in the case and whether or not they've got the appropriate billing determinations.

Here we argue, as a matter of fairness and

equity, that you should defer it to a fu -- for a future point in time. You should defer that, that tranche. We do that on several bases. The first bases we argue is that the billing components advanced by the Utility in this case are the same billing components that were advanced by the Utility in the last case.

In the last case you ordered us, after that case because there was so much controversy, to go out and see if we couldn't find what the problems were, find cures for the diseases that they suffered from, and see if we could find bridges around them. And we've been working to do that. And we hope to have a report by November to do it.

But on the case -- on the track that this case is in we won't have completed that work by the time the hearing is completed in this case. So we'll have billing determinants suspect in the last case about which we're working at your direction, and we will not have completed the work.

So we suggest, so as to not waste the work we've been doing by looking at those, that we defer the distribution, or the revenue requirement in tranche two, to the next case.

You're already going to have to do it with

respect to tranche one. If you've got to do it with respect to tranche one and you have inadequate information or less-than-perfect information now, we think that that probably ought to be spread to tranche two.

Now, there's two reasons to think about doing that. Number one is that it costs us all money to try a case. I'm not free, and neither is Mr. Hickey. And none of our expert witnesses are free. And so there is no reason to put us all to the expense of trying this case when we're going to have to try it again.

And let's talk about a timeline. It is a question of trying it in November or trying it in July. We're not putting it off for a term of years. We're putting it off with the second rate cases filed on January 1.

It will probably be heard in July or August, because the rates have to become effective in September. So rather than trying it in November to make rates effective on January 1, you try it in July to make rates effective whatever the date is in September. That date is dependent upon when they filed the case.

A second reason that we argue that it needs to be done is that the duplication of costs that will

occur in the case. Not only will your efforts be wasted in hearing the same case twice, we're gonna duplicate the costs that we're going through with respect to it. And that's true with respect to all of us.

Now, we call your attention that in the midst of this there is a mismatch in years as filed in this case. As filed in this case, the revenue requirement test period looks at a time period different than the test period used for allocating rates.

So we think that's an invitation to assess to the wrong people the wrong amounts because of the mismatch in time periods. But there's a more important feature in the middle of this case that we think needs some special attention. And we argue this.

This is a case involving, in tranche one and tranche two, largely the allocation of transmission costs. That's a national debate right now, which you know.

It began with just -- Justice Posner in the Seventh Circuit when the -- PJM tried to move power from the West to the East. And the people in the midst, in Ohio in particular said, We're not getting any benefit from this. Why should we pay for this

transmission expansion?

FERC said, We'll just use the traditional allocation between the states and you'll be fine.

Posner said, That's not the way it's done. If you don't benefit, you don't pay.

Then comes FERC in its notebook and comments on the proposed rule. The proposed rule as published says the same thing: If you don't benefit, you don't pay.

Comments are due on that tomorrow. There will be a requirement when Rocky Mountain files its 205 application -- Section 205 of the Federal Power Act is the provision of the Federal Power Act under which a utility files to increase its wholesale rates.

When it files its Section 205 app. it will likely have to show how it's gonna allocate costs. You're going to have to face that question. Rather than face that question one tranche at a time, you ought to get as much of the puzzle in front of you so you are as fully informed as you can be when you make that decision.

That, too, is a reason we suggest that you may want to defer it. Now, when you think about this transmission, it is not a small issue. We've estimated -- and we may be wrong -- but we've

estimated that the revenue requirements on this transmission over the period of its construction could be over \$200 million to Utah.

We need to look closely at that and see if that \$200 million saddle to be imposed on Utah is reasonable under the circumstances. Now, my opponents say -- in spite of what I say, in spite of the equity, and in spite of the duplication, and in spite of what the statute says -- that you need to flow it through to rates now because of the carrying costs.

Now remember, we've got two tranches.

Tranche one and tranche two. With respect to tranche two, the carry cost argument is really simple. You have the discretion to determine what the carry cost will be. In an order issued two weeks ago you said zero.

When carry costs are a burden you can determine what the appropriate level of carry costs will be so that it's not a burden. I'm not arguing that carry costs should be zero, but I'm suggesting that you've already determined that in one of your orders now.

And if you look at what you're earning cash in the bank it's not much greater than zero, if greater than zero. So you get to make that decision.

1 You can decide what that burden of carry will be on a 2 going-forward basis. 3 With respect to tranche one, let's flip the table. And let's say I'm sitting here saying carry 4 5 costs on that stipulated deferral are burdensome. 6 What are my opponents gonna say? 7 They're gonna say, You've agreed to them. You've got buyer's remorse. You agreed to a level 8 9 that's too high. But it's your signature. You agreed 10 to it. You're bound by it. 11 And so it is the case that we've got an 12 agreed-upon carry charge. It may not have been the 13 best agreement we've ever made, but we do have an 14 agreement. There isn't an end in there on when it's 15 gonna end. The statute said then to the next general 16 rate case, as the statute says now. And we've all 17 agreed to it. 18 So to hear complaints that there is a 19 burden -- and I don't like carry costs and agree it's 20 a burden -- but we all agreed to it. I think it's 21 kind of something that is probably not something that 22 you ought to have a whole lot of sympathy for. 23 Although I agree that carry costs are something that we really don't like when we, when we can avoid them. 24

Secondly they argue gradualism. Now, Lowell

Alt wrote chapters on gradualism. We all remember Lowell Alt from his days here at the Commission.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Counsel, I couldn't hear what you said when you put your hand up.

MR. REEDER: Sorry. Lowell Alt wrote the chapters on gradualism. We all remember Lowell Alt from his days here at Commission. Lowell Alt wrote on gradu -- gradualism that gradualism was to avoid swings in rates when we had changing billing determinants.

When we were moving from summer to winter or night to day. Gradualism was so that we were always giving to the customer the correct decision so we didn't make sudden changes.

Here, these are all directionally in the same direction. These are all going up. The question is really a sociological question. And that is, Are we better off with a 5 percent increase in January and another increase of whatever magnitude in September, or are we better off with one increase in September of whatever the amount the Commission determines should be appropriate?

That's a sociological question about how best to treat people if you had the discretion to make those decisions. I think one answer that you need to

look at is most budgets are set annually.

Frequent rate cases are what we were trying to avoid for this major plant addition case. And we're not doing a very job -- very good job of avoiding it if we have a hit in January, then we have a hit in September simply because a new plant comes on line.

Now, I can see that the major plant addition case -- major plant addition statute is a statute whose purpose was, in part, to assure that the Utility earned carry on plants that had become used and useful.

It is, in financial terms, nothing more really than a continuation of the AFUDC statute. So what we're doing is we're simply continuing and providing the Utility the opportunity to continue to earn by moving this into the next case.

Gradualism doesn't fit into that.

Gradualism, I think, should be thought about in terms of how best to send signals to the ratepayers and how best to minimize the frequency of cases.

Next my colleagues argue that their cash flow requires that we flow this into rates now rather than continue to pay or let them accrue AFUDC. Flowing into rates now would give them some current cash.

So I scrubbed their 10-K and attached it to my brief so you could see it. And I scrubbed their federal order to see if there was any hint in their federal order -- or their 10-Q, sorry, their 10-Q to determine that they had -- that they were in a cash position, or that their ability to construct these projects was predicated on cash flow from flowing this to retail ratepayers instantly.

I could find not a word. This may be the first time they've alleged a cash flow issue with respect to it, at least as I can scrub their financial position.

But there's one more important issue that you need to look at with respect to cash flow. Let's look at the scheme on how this transmission facility is going to be financed. The scheme for financing these transmission facilities, this Gateway project, is to have the retail ratepayer guarantee it.

Even though a major part of these facilities will likely be merchant facilities we, the ratepayer, are taking the risk of the non-use of that facility, even though there may be a bill for it through or into the wholesale customers' facilities.

We get that kind of information from their first quarter when we look at the size and type of

these facilities. So we have to ask ourselves whether having the retail ratepayer as the guarantor of a merchant function is really the correct way to do this.

So you need to get all the pieces before you on this transmission before you decide how it should best be done. That question about how it should be allocated between federal and state was a question reserved in the FERC order that granted them a 13 percent rate of return on their transmission investments.

between them. FERC will not do that until they file a Section 205 application. They haven't filed their Section 205 application. And not having filed their Section 205 application one has to ask if really this cash need that they're complaining about is something we need to be driven to making decisions before it's time on.

Maybe we should have some insight on what they're going to say to FERC on how it should be allocated. And maybe have some insight from FERC on how those costs are allocated before we let them go forward.

So going back to the legal question. Is

1	there discretion to defer to end a deferral in a
2	major plant addition in the statute? I don't see it
3	there. You've got the discretion to do it, but you've
4	got to commence a separate proceeding to do it. It
5	hasn't been commenced. That, I think, is the answer
6	on tranche one.
7	On tranche two, we think that's the billing
8	determinant issue. We're not going to have, nor have
9	the opportunity to have, the best information on the
10	billing determinants until we complete our work in
11	November.
12	And we think that the unnecessary effort and
13	unnecessary cost both to us and to the ratepayers of
14	Rocky Mountain can be avoided if you will defer it.
15	That's what we ask. And I am prepared for your
16	questions or to respond to my colleagues.
17	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Reeder.
18	Okay, I think our preference is to defer
19	questions of counsel until we've heard from everyone.
20	MR. REEDER: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN BOYER: We've jotted them down.
22	Ms. Schmid?
23	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. Mr. Reeder talked
24	about making decisions before their time. The
25	Division urges the Commission not to make a decision

on deferral today because it's before its time. With the exception of the approximately 15 million that has already been deferred, the Division believes there's no need for the Commission to address the deferral issue today.

It's not necessary because there's been no testimony by the Division, the Office, UIEC, UA -- UEA, or other intervenors concerning whether the \$30.8 million from MPA I and the amount from MPA II should be deferred.

There has been no testimony about how resulting rate increases should be spread amongst customers. It is this forthcoming testimony, and responsive testimony by the Company, that the Commission should look to to guide it in deciding the issues -- these issues.

It is not necessary for the Commission to decide the deferral issue at this point because, although Utah Code Annotated 54-13.4 has been discussed at length by the parties, it seems that there is a disagreement upon the meaning of the statute.

Like with many things, the devil is in the details. The Division, too, looked at the rules of statutory construction when it came up with its

Section 5 states:

position that the Commission can, if it wishes, end the deferral of the MPA I amounts -- except for the \$15 million -- and that the Commission need not wait for a general rate case to authorize recovery.

The Division bases this position among things, including the statutory construction rule discussed previously, on the wording of Section 5.

"If the Commission approves, or approves with conditions, cost recovery of a major plant addition the Commission shall do one or all of the following."

And then there are two sections: A

Section A, which talks about deferring. And a

Section B, which talks about adjusting rates or
establishing a collection mechanism. One or all.

Also, if we look at Section 6, we have to allow the Commission flexibility or Section 6 has no meaning.

Finally, when we look at other parts of the statute, such as the requirement that the application for recovery be within 18 months of a final rate case order, we can conclude that this statute is not to be used to rehash and do an entire rate case.

This statute is an alternative cost recovery.

It is intended to have the decision out of here be close in time to the decision in a major rate case so information does not have to be repeated again.

It's not necessary for the Commission to decide the deferral right now because the arguments are unpersuasive that urge the Commission to allow the work groups to present their conclusions and recommendations.

There are often, if not always, work groups studying things. Good information can come out of these work groups. Information that can be used to make things better in the future. However, it seems unwise to delay a decision just to wait for a work group.

There is no guarantee that a work group will come up with something that is useful, or indeed that a work group may come up with anything at all.

One of the work groups is studying cost of service issues. Although in the rate case there were many issues raised about cost of service, the Commission did render a decision on cost of service and did use that in establishing just and reasonable rates.

Therefore, the Division believes that that information, along -- from the rate case along with

other information through testimony can guide the Commission when it determines it is ripe or time to reach its decision on deferrals.

The Division is sensitive to the cost issues raised by some of the intervenors. The Division, however, believes that the importance of this issue necessitates testimony being prepared and presented to the Commission so the Commission can have the guidance of that before it renders its decision.

It's not necessary now to decide the deferral issue because the impact of the deferral will have a material effect upon ratepayers and the Commission should have all available information.

If we turn back to the DPU's pleading we note that Attachment 1 shows that if UIEC's position were adopted and the deferral balances were amortized over 12 months, from accrued interest, the ratepayers would pay an additional 4.8 million. Over 24 months, from accrued interest, the ratepayers would pay an additional 7.6 million. Over 36 months, from accrued interest, the ratepayers would pay an additional 10.5 issue -- million.

These are important issues before the Commission. And the Commission should have the best available information upon which to make its decision.

```
1
    Not all necessary information is before the Commission
    now. And the Commission urges the Commission -- and
 2
 3
    the Division urges the Commission to make a decision
 4
    regarding deferral of amounts from MPA I -- except for
 5
    the 15 million -- and amounts from MPA II when more
 6
    and better information, not now. Thank you.
 7
              CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid.
 8
              Let's hear from Mr. Hickey, and we'll save
 9
    Mr. Proctor for the last. Not the least.
10
              MR. PROCTOR: Well --
11
              MR. HICKEY: Be glad to go with however
12
    you --
13
              CHAIRMAN BOYER: Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Dodge.
14
              MR. HICKEY: Mr. Dodge, I think --
15
              CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yeah, Mr. Dodge.
16
              MR. HICKEY: -- is in support of the motion.
17
    If you --
18
              MR. PROCTOR: Mr. Chairman, if I might -- and
19
    excuse me Mr. Dodge -- I guess I'm a bit confused. I
20
    think your statement of the schedule was to hear the
21
    proponents and then opponents to the thing?
22
              CHAIRMAN BOYER: Yeah.
                                      And that's what I
23
    said. And as I say --
24
              MR. PROCTOR: And --
25
              CHAIRMAN BOYER: -- I'm a little confused.
                                                         27
```

MS. SCHMID: We --1 2 CHAIRMAN BOYER: But let's hear from 3 Mr. Dodge first. MS. SCHMID: I'd like it noted that the 4 5 Division is not a proponent of the motion but, indeed, 6 opposes it. 7 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Let's hear from 8 Mr. Dodge and we'll just shift around. We're gonna 9 hear all of the arguments. We'll consider them all. 10 And we'll make a decision based upon that. 11 apologize for my confusion. 12 Mr. Dodge? 13 MR. DODGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I 14 will be brief because Mr. Reeder has adequately 15 covered the points. 16 UAE supports UIEC's motion for two primary 17 The first is that we think it's inescapable, reasons. 18 under any reasonable reading of the statute, that the 19 decision made in connection with the first MPA case to 20 defer requires that collection be done in the context 21 of a general rate case. 22 Indeed, any other interpretation reads out of 23 the statute "for recovery in general rate cases" from 24 Section 5(a.) That decision was made, as it's 25 required under the statute, within 150 days of the

filing. It's now simply a request to go back and reconsider your prior ruling.

I'm not gonna argue whether or not you ought to have the discretion to do that. There may be reasons why you ought to have the discretion to go back and revisit that ruling and change it now, as you're being asked to, but the statute doesn't give it to you.

I think it's just -- I don't think there's any other reading of the statute that does justice to the plain words in the, in the statute.

Secondly, and perhaps as importantly, UAE believes that anytime a major plant addition case is filed when significant change has happened since the general rate case, that it's inequitable either to the customers or to the Utility to use the billing determinants from that general rate case in collecting a fixed amount of net revenue requirement impact determined in the major plant addition case.

Indeed, it was for that very reason that UAE insisted, in the drafting of the statute, that deferral be one of the options. And we said at the time we would likely argue for deferral almost every time, because once the rate case is over you've got up to a hundred and -- excuse me, up to 18 months from

that point to deal with a single-item rate case.

But every month that passes, especially when the utility's load is changing in any significant way up or down, you build in an absolute guarantee of over-recovery or under-recovery if you choose to use the billing determinants from the rate case but a, but a revenue requirement determination from a current period or from a future period from the rate case. Which is exactly what's being asked of there.

We think that that's more damaging to customers than the carrying charge. Which, as Mr. Reeder pointed out, we all agreed to. So we believe that there are strong public policy considerations to say when any significant time has passed, when we're uncertain about whether the billing determinants from the rate case would fairly collect the amount that we determined, then we defer. And that's why that option is there.

Lastly, we urge you to decide this now. I respect Ms. Schmid's argument that you don't have to now. I agree with her. You don't have to decide it now. But we urge you to, because we don't want to have to fight this if we don't have to.

And I will point out, the statute does not say -- there's been representations the statute

intends -- that we use all the data from the rate case and don't have to retry it. Nothing in the statute says that. And, in fact, we couldn't agree upon it. That's why the statute doesn't address that.

You will have before you in this case a fight over whether you need a new cost of service study, and new billing determinants, and other projections of revenues and other impacts in order to properly decide the net revenue requirement impact, or to set the billing determinants -- or excuse me, or to set the amounts that you will collect from various customer classes, because the statute doesn't say one way or the other.

We agree it's appropriate to determine the net revenue requirement impacts now. We don't think it's appropriate to determine how that will be collected now, given the time that's passed or will have passed from the last rate case.

So we do urge you to make that decision now, if you're, if you're persuaded that either the statute or public policy requires deferral or supports deferral, so that we don't have to have that fight now and then again in the rate case. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Dodge.

Now let's hear from Mr. Hickey, and then

Mr. Proctor.

MR. HICKEY: Thank you Chairman Boyer, members of the Commission, and the parties. I just would like to try to get maybe 10,000 feet above where we've been for most of the argument and get back to what the intent of the Utah legislature was, from our perspective on behalf of Rocky Mountain Power, when this statute was adopted.

I hear Mr. Reeder suggest that this is, effectively, a new law that allows for the imposition of a carrying charge. With all due respect to a very fine advocate, I think that is an unfair and unreasonable -- unreasonably narrow interpretation of the statute.

Utah law, certainly prior to the passage of this act, stood for the proposition that single-item rate cases are not favored, not to be encouraged. To be prohibited if -- all of the reasons that all of us have learned for years single-issue rate cases are not favored.

What this statute did I think has changed the paradigm. The backdrop I think that you'll recall -- and that all in the room will recall -- is major public policy initiatives, like investments in Utility infrastructure, were being discussed in the capital --

in all of the capitals throughout the West, with the added push or endorsements of advocacy groups like RMATS, like the Western Governor's Association, and those that saw that the economic development of the states of the West needed new infrastructure.

And utilities -- like PacifiCorp and its operating division here, Rocky Mountain Power -- were saying, With all of this capital-intensive investment that we're intending to make in this requested and solicited infrastructure -- transmission lines and additional generation assets -- we really would like to focus on the regulatory lag that we'd experience between when these investments are incurred and when they can ultimately be recovered within rates.

And I think that's the context that the legislature then took up the initiative of addressing that in a way that was balanced from a customer perspective, from a regulator perspective, and adding to the tools and the powers of this Commission. And from the vantage point of the Utility that's incurring substantial capital costs in investment, a better way of finding recovery of major plant additions.

The statute is not entitled Recovering Charge For Major Plant Additions statute. It's called Alternative Cost Recovery For Major Plant Additions.

And the significant language of the statute I think is what was read to you by Ms. Schmid a minute ago.

That when you approve, or approve with conditions under subsection 5 of 54-7-13.4, a cost recovery of a major plant addition, the Commission shall do one or all -- and that's the key word here -- one or all of the following:

"Subject to conditions, authorize
the gas or electric corporation to defer
the State's share of the net revenue
requirement impacts of the major plant
addition for recovery in general rate
cases, or adjust rates, or otherwise
establish a collection method for the
State's share of the net revenue
requirement impacts that will apply to
the appropriate billing components."

This Commission's powers that were delegated to the Commission expanded with the passage of this law. This Commission has more discretion and more authority than it had as a limited agency, as all agencies are, by only the powers the legislature chooses to give you.

They gave you more power with this statute, in my view. They did not restrict your powers. So

against that effort to state what I believe, on behalf of the Company, the legislative intent of this new 2009 legislation was, I think the issues before you today become pretty limited.

I don't think we need to go down some of the rabbit holes that are suggested by UIEC. I don't think we need to worry about when the working group's gonna come back. I don't think we need to worry about some of these other offered excuses to delay your acting under the new authority given you by the legislature in this statute.

The two legal questions that I think are here today are, Can the major plant addition costs deferred in MPA I be collected in an order entered in this docket? I think that's the first legal question.

And the second, and I would say based on arguments of Counsel I think possibly the conceded argument is, Can the major plant addition costs for which recovery is sought in this docket -- for convenience, MPA II -- be recovered in an order entered in this docket?

The answer I think I heard from Counsel was, Yes, you could do it if you want, but based on principles of equity they encourage you not to exercise that authority.

Let me first address the 39 million of major plant addition costs for which collection is requested in this docket. Those being additional transmission investments of Populus to Ben Lomond, and a wind farm entitled the Dunlap I Wind Generation Farm in Wyoming.

No serious question can be made to you under subsection 5(b) of the statute that you have the authority to adjust rates. Or, in the language as it exists in the subsequent section -- a provision of that section:

"Or otherwise establish a collection method for the State's share of those net revenue requirements."

Clearly that authority is there. The question is whether or not, for all of these other speculative reasons, you should re -- you should determine not to exercise that authority. And I would say you shouldn't.

If you were to defer, as requested by UIEC, that's effectively turning all of these major plant addition proceedings into general rate cases. And I think that's what the legislature intended to avoid by giving you additional authority to act in these areas where an investment in a major plant would exceed one percent of the Company's rate base.

And so the real question, the tougher question, is whether or not you have the authority to act in this docket on what was deferred in the MPA I case. And I would suggest that you do.

In order to make any sense out of the words "the Commission shall do one or all of the following," when you read all of Section 5 and all of Section 6 together it seems to me that you have the authority to defer, you have the authority to adjust rates, and you have the authority to otherwise establish a collection method.

Now, if they intended to say you could do either an adjustment of rates or in otherwise establish a collection method they would have limited you there. But the legislature didn't. They said that you have the authority to do one or all of the following.

So as to the amount that was deferred in the first order, it seems that the deferral is now being addressed in this order by having you establish the collection of that deferred amount. That's an adjustment of rates. Or, at a minimum, it's the establishment of a collection method for that previously-determined cost of the major plant addition allocated on a Utah-specific basis.

And why should you act in that way? What public purpose or public interest reason would justify that order? And I think, again, the Division has articulated those reasons quite well. If you look at the two attachments to their resistance to this motion they present what the rate implications would be for customers.

They've quantified in detail, as did the attachment that was a data request from the Company -- it's the second attachment to the Division's papers -- they've quantified what that carrying charge means to customers. And it's significant.

I believe, in reviewing papers for this argument today, that it was Commissioner Campbell who raised the question in the first docket of, Why should we defer at all? Why shouldn't we get this carrying charge out so that isn't embodied within rates? And I think it's a proper question to ask.

And when you look at this from a perspective of another rate case that will be filed by Rocky Mountain Power early in 2011, and on the timeline suggested by Counsel that we would be in hearings next summer with rates effective the early part of next fall, there is a real purpose to getting these agreed-upon amounts established in rates and

1 collected. So that when customers are confronted next 2 fall with the consequences of the general rate case 3 docket there has been an attempt to mitigate some of 4 that impact. 5 So for all of these reasons I would suggest 6 that the motion be denied. That the narrow 7 interpretation of the major plant addition statute 8 that is offered within the motion and through Counsel 9 be rejected for the reason that the legislature wanted 10 to empower you with additional powers to provide for alternative cost recovery for major plant additions. 11 12 And the major plant additions that PacifiCorp 13 brought before you in MP I and is now bringing before 14 you in MP II meet the conditions of this statute, and 15 the recovery of the costs associated therewith should 16 be recovered now and not later. 17 Happy to answer any questions. 18 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Hickey. Ι 19 think we will have a question or two for you. 20 Let's hear from Mr. Proctor now. 21 MR. PROCTOR: I had not planned to do 22 anything this afternoon other than state that the 23 Office would rest on its memorandum in response to the motion from UIEC, but having heard some of the 24

argument today I think I have a few very short

comments.

First of all, as we said, the Office generally agrees with UIEC's legal argument as correct. And, having heard the responses to it from the Division and the Company, I would have to say it's not a general agreement. It's a very precise agreement that section -- or subsection 5 and subsection 6 of the particular statute at issue are quite discrete.

Five says you can do it one of two ways, or you can combine the two. But if you defer it, then 6 merely talks about the terms of the collection. Not when, because that's been determined by Section 5. It says if you defer it, it's the next general rate case. Period.

There is no question about statutory interpretation or legislators' intent in that particular case. It is plain. And the law in the State of Utah is when it is plain you have to follow that particular procedure that the legislature has established.

Second, this issue, deferral or not, is ripe.

I would suggest that it must be answered now if the

Commission is to, one, provide the Company, and more

importantly the customers, with a plain indication of

what their rates are going to be and when those rates are going to go up.

Now, as we stated, it's hard to quantify the customers' interest at this point in time. The social problem that Mr. Reeder speaks about is, Are you better to have serial small rate increases or one really large one?

The carrying costs that have been thrown about pertaining to MPA II are still uncertain. The Office is not persuaded at all by the Division's spreadsheet defining them as somewhere between 2 million and 10 million.

We think that the Commission should consider that as an important part of your decision whether to defer or place into rates MPA II, because that really is your only question. It then falls upon the question of, Well, will we gain more accurate results if we defer it as opposed to not?

And Mr. Reeder's arguments are -- they're very well taken. The Office is not persuaded by them -- with all due respect, Mr. Reeder -- because our issue is, Yeah, business budgets deferred for a year. But Widow Jones is budgeting for the next two weeks, depending upon whether her employer has stayed in business and whether her paycheck's gonna clear.

I mean, that's maybe an exaggeration of the situation. But, you know, people budget on a biweekly basis in order to pay their rates. So that's an issue that they're taking into account at the present point but also, Well, you know, should I suffer now and hopefully not pay a lot more in the future years? The three years out of the amortization of the deferral, for example?

We can't answer that question. It's -there's no clear answer to it. But nevertheless, the
case of whether or not this matter should be deferred
or collected immediately for MPA II is ripe. And you
shouldn't wait because, well, you don't have enough
information.

This is a legal question. And then you make that judgment. Because you know essentially what it's going to do to rates. Accuracy is important in the regulatory realm and you should look to that very carefully. Which is going to be the most accurate rate for the consumer? What is going to be the better result for that consumer, you know, again, the serial smaller increases or the one large one?

We simply defer to your wisdom about that particular matter, as our response provided. That's the Office's comment. We're not opposed, we're not a

1 proponent of one position or another. We're not quite 2 Switzerland, but we're approaching it. 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Proctor. We -- you know, obviously we could have 4 5 decided on the, on the pleadings but we wanted to hear 6 legal arguments. It is kind of an arcane and narrow 7 legal question here, so we appreciate you adding your 8 comments here. 9 Let's turn back to Mr. Reeder and see if you 10 have any concluding remarks or arguments. 11 MR. REEDER: Briefly, if I may. The genesis 12 for the statute, from those of us who were present at 13 its birth, is a little different than that described 14 by my colleague. 15 In 2006 we were confronted with a major plant 16 addition, the Lakeside Plant, becoming used and useful 17 after the conclusion of a general rate case. 18 struggled about how to bring that into rates because 19 of the major -- because of the bar against single-item 20 rate cases. 21 We came up with a solution -- of questionable 22 legality at that time -- of a negative surcharge. 23 That's what spawned the statute, was how to do it. Those major generation plants go through 24 25 SB 26 procedures and get vetted pretty well. And when

one of those comes online immediately following a rate case we were sympathetic that the Utility ought to be able to earn on those as quickly as they come into service.

With respect to things other than those that go through the SB 26 process, we were struggling.

Mr. Dodge and I struggled immensely with this. And I -- this whole argument is kind of proof that no good deed goes unpunished. Those were never -- those were things that we did not have good information about, and we knew we wouldn't have good information about.

And if they came at us with something other than a plant that had been through SB 26, we knew that it had to go to a general rate case -- at least in our mind -- because you would have no chance of determining what rates were just and reasonable in the 150 days when those things were coming at you.

Hence you'll see the Division in the statute about plants that go through the SB 26 process and plants that don't. That's the reason for that. It came to us because of our attempt to allow the Utility to earn on assets after the AFUDC earning opportunity ended. We thought it was fair that they, that they earn on it.

That's where the statute comes from. It was

not Ormat knocking at our door, it was simply a 1 2 fundamental sense of fairness. But, you know, 3 sometimes no good deed goes unpunished. 4 With respect to the Commission's ability now 5 to reconsider its decision to defer MAP I (sic), I 6 don't see, in the section read to you, anywhere that 7 says, After you've made the decision to defer you can, 8 on your own motion, on the application of a party, or 9 simply because it would be convenient, change the 10 deferral to a recovery in a major plant addition case. 11 It says you make a decision. If you've 12 deferred it, then it goes to a general rate case. 13 That's just what the statute says. I think there is 14 no other alternative. 15 With respect to carry charges, there is a 16 document attached to the DPU's brief that is a 17 response to our discovery requests. It's got two 18 parts to it: 19 The carry costs on the second tranche, 20 \$925,000, totally in your discretion. That assumes a 21 6 percent charge and a period of a year. You can 22

decide what that's gonna be. If that's burdensome, that's your decision.

With respect to the first tranche, one-year carry is \$1.7 million. And part of that is already

23

24

25

accrued. There's about \$1.4 million that will accrue as we're going forward.

I think I'm bound by my stipulation that they're entitled to earn that until that goes into rates. So I don't think we ought to be heard to argue, as some are arguing, that that's a burden.

Now, I'm sympathetic and I appreciate the Company's concern about the, about the interest for we ratepayers and the carry charges associated with it. Trust us, we'll have more to say about that at the appropriate time.

With respect to the decision to be made now or later. You're going to have to hear MAP I later. You might as well hear MAP II on the cost of service study later, and avoid the duplication of cost and avoid the duplication of effort, because it's just gotta happen.

There is just no convincing alternative construction to the statute, unless you choose to commence an investigation to do that kind of thing.

I think at the end of the day the question becomes simply the question that Justice Maughan posed in the single-item rate case: Are you going to have comfort that the rates that you're going to approve are just and reasonable in the absence of your having

1 the opportunity to have what we're learning as we're 2 going forward and all of the information in front of 3 you? And I'd submit it. 4 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Mr. Reeder. 5 We'll turn now to Commissioner questions. 6 Commissioner Allen? 7 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 Previously I had written a few questions down 9 here, and now that we've had an opportunity to have you discuss your prefiled testimony I might have to 10 11 alter these just a little bit to see if I get to the 12 core of the problem. 13 Mr. Reeder, when I look at -- when we look at 14 the issue of legislative intent it appears that you 15 and Mr. Hickey disagree on what that may have 16 initially been, at least to some degree. 17 And when I look at this, not as an attorney, 18 but just looking at what I would interpret as trying 19 to decide what the legislative intent was or trying to 20 read through this, it seems to me with the, with the 21 major plant additions section as an entirely new law 22 what would be the benefit of having that without 23 specific or new cost recovery? 24 Wouldn't it have just been -- if we take your 25 assertion that we have to follow the plain language of

the law, wouldn't a major plant addition case simply be a subset of ongoing rate cases otherwise?

I guess what I'm saying is there's an implication there, when you look at the whole new law, that there should have been or could be alternate recovery as well as the single-item rate case. How do you explain that?

MR. REEDER: The reason that was persuasive to those of us present is for -- you're the only person in the room who's been in the legislature, so you understand legislative intent better than anyone.

But the reason it was persuasive to us and the reason argued most persuasively was the Utility stops earning AFUDC -- allowance for funds used during construction -- when a plant becomes commercial or goes operational.

So when it comes into service there needs to be some way for them to get return of and return on their assets. That's the reason for the statute. It's the functional equivalent of a deferred accounting order.

And you decide whether or not you're going to adjust rates now, like you did in Lakeside, or whether you're gonna adjust them later when you get better information. That's the function -- that's the

financial purpose of the statute.

That was what was persuasive to us as we sat with the Company drafting the statute. That there was some unfairness that we would have the use of their assets and not have to pay the return of and return on those assets.

That's what we tried to solve in Lakeside I.

That's what I think the legislative intent was,
together with avoiding proliferating cases. We didn't
want to see a case every six months. We didn't
succeed at that, but.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So I suppose in fairness, Mr. Hickey, do you have some insight on how you see that response?

MR. HICKEY: Well, I would just accept the premise of your question that the legislature intended to do something that changed the State of Utah law by passing this act.

And I think what they changed was they further empowered the Commission in the area of rates, and your ability to establish just and reasonable rates by carving out a major plant addition cost alternative/cost recovery methodology.

And that methodology gives you substantial discretion in this subsection 5 and subsection 6

provision of the law. The other point that I've alluded to, Commissioner Allen, but didn't make as clearly as I probably could have or should have was if you look at the language in subsection 6, and it again talks of deferral. It says:

"The deferral or collection of the State's share of the net income requirement which impacts the -- or the impacts of the major plant addition under this section shall commence the day on which a Commission order is entered approving the deferral or collection amount."

There's no reference there to saying entry of a Commission order in a general rate case. And I think the fair reading of the entire statute is that you were given authority to do any or all of the following: Defer, adjust rates, or establish a collection methodology.

And that you have that ability both in a general rate case deferral, or a deferral that ends prior to that by the entry of an order as you could enter in this case to end the deferral in MPA I.

MR. REEDER: If I may, there's a distinction that we seem to be missing here. This statute is to

give the Utility the opportunity to earn. It can also give the Utility the opportunity to turn those earnings into cash flow.

It earns return and can accrue that return like it does on any asset. It can also turn it into cash flow if you turn it into rates. That's the decision the Commission gets to make.

I think what Mr. Hickey is arguing is that it's got to go to cash flow. I don't agree it's got to go to cash flow. I think you can simply give them the opportunity to earn.

And as you look at 6(b), that opportunity to earn the return ends when it becomes a part of the general rate case and becomes part of the recovery pursuant to the return of and the return on the rate base in general.

That deferral, that opportunity to earn -- to accrue, if you will, earnings ends at that point.

Unless, of course, you've let them cash flow it in the meantime. So we're talking about two independent concepts here: Earning and cash flow.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And Mr. Reeder, if I put my accounting hat on, though, the cash flow issue can be an important issue. It's one thing to have an asset on your books that says you're gonna get some

1 money one day, or someday out in the future, as 2 opposed to having it in the rates at the time. 3 So I was a little surprised, to be honest 4 with you, that there wasn't more discussion about the 5 cash flow issue. Is this a small number -- this is a 6 question for both of you -- so that the cash flow is 7 not as relevant? 8 But -- I want you to explain more why the 9 cash flow is not an important issue for the --10 MR. REEDER: I think --11 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: -- in terms of creating 12 new borrowing, those issues. 13 MR. REEDER: I think cash flow may be an 14 important issue to them. That is not an issue that 15 they've chosen to confess in their 10-Q that we 16 attached to our brief. 17 There's not one word in there that, The construction of these facilities is dependent upon us 18 19 getting prompt relief from retail ratepayers. 20 Second -- secondly, there was not one word in their FERC order, where they sought authority from 21 22 FERC to get a bonus rate of return, that this was 23 predicated upon getting retail rate relief in order to 24 do it. 25 This is the first place we've heard the

1 allegation that there's a cash flow requirement. 2 don't deny that cash flow is important, but cash flow 3 is not usually used for construction. Cash flow is 4 usually used for operation. 5 They're borrowing. And they've attached in 6 their application they're borrowing. And they don't 7 concede or confess any, any lack of access to the 8 capital market appears to me that way. 9 Now, to the cash flow issue, they just 10 haven't raised it anywhere but in one paragraph in 11 their brief. But I agree with you that it's 12 important. 13 COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I understand that 14 distinction, too, between capital and operation. 15 So Mr. Hickey, did you want to? 16 MR. HICKEY: Thank you, Commissioner Allen. 17 I do want to respond on behalf of the Company to the 18 cash flow issue. And it was one that we had 19 anticipated. And through the assistance of Mr. Bruce 20 Williams, the Company treasurer, have some 21 observations that I can pass along and make these 22 representations into the record this afternoon. 23 During 2009 PacifiCorp invested \$2.3 billion, 24 while it generated 1.5 billion of cash from 25 operations. That difference was funded, in part,

```
1
     through external borrowing. The cost and availability
    of which depends, in a large part, upon the investors'
 2
 3
    perceptions of the risks that PacifiCorp has and the
 4
     support that it receives from its regulators.
 5
              And Moody's and Standard & Poor's have both
 6
    made a -- made references to the fact that from a
 7
    rating perspective -- as you've heard from our cost of
 8
    equity folks and Mr. Williams in the context of
 9
    general rate proceedings -- the ratings this company
    receives from the rating agencies is, in fact, tied to
10
11
     an expectation of those agencies that there will be
12
    favorable treatment, favorable regulatory treatment
13
     throughout the operating territory on these very heavy
14
    capital costs that are being invested.
15
              COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, thank you.
16
              Does the -- does either the Division or the
17
    Office have anything to add on those issues of intent
18
    or cash flow?
19
              It doesn't appear so. Thank you,
20
    Mr. Chairman.
              CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you, Commissioner
21
22
    Allen.
              Commissioner Campbell?
23
              COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: I have no questions.
24
25
              CHAIRMAN BOYER: Well, I guess I'm the only
                                                          54
```

one who's confused -- and I was confused earlier in the hearing as to who was supporting and who wasn't -- but I do have a couple questions.

And this one is just general to all counsel present. I would have expected to see more of a discussion of the legislative, legislative history of this particular portion of the statute.

I listened to some of them during that legislative session, and my memory was that the whole purpose of this change was to address regulatory lag. To get these major plant addition costs into rate base sooner than later, and not have to wait till a rate case.

And I didn't remember that if deferral was used you had to wait till the rate case, but if you use some other -- one of the other alternatives you didn't. Nobody -- but nobody really raised that. I mean, you've talked about it in argument a little bit.

MR. DODGE: And I'd like to address that, Mr. Chairman. And I think it's obvious. The complaint the Utility had was that regulatory lag meant that it received nothing from the day a new plant addition came --

CHAIRMAN BOYER: You're gonna, you're gonna say that the carrying charge makes them whole --

MR. DODGE: Well, not just the carrying charge but recovery of the net revenue requirement impact. So in other words, under the old rule if they couldn't get a new plant into the test period, or even if they got it into the test period but it was the last month of the test period, they got one-twelfth of the cost.

Then until they could get through the next general rate case -- even if they filed the day after you entered your order -- there would be eight months when they would be recovering zero as to the let's say \$30 million investment they just made -- \$30 million revenue requirement -- a much larger investment that produces a \$30 million revenue requirement, as in MPA No. I.

\$2.5 million a month, if you assume a \$30 million requirement. They lost \$2.5 million a month while the, the item was being used and useful and benefitting customers. And it was an at least eight month regulatory lag that they could not be made whole from.

That was the argument. And so we agreed, in that kind of a context, that the day it becomes used and useful they start recovering \$2.5 million. And

then the issue of how and when they recover it was what was left to the Commission's discretion.

You can either choose to adjust rates right then, so rates go up by \$2.5 million a month. Or you can choose to defer it. In which case 2.5 million goes into a deferred account, plus a 6 percent carrying cost.

At the end of -- when the rate case comes and it says it will be deferred to a general rate case, then you take all of that deferred \$2.5 million plus the carrying charge and roll those into rates. So they do recover that.

And so the legislative intent didn't even address the issue of whether it's important for them to recover it immediately upon the MPA case being completed or during the rate case. It gave you that discretion.

And the point that I made earlier was we insisted upon the deferral option because we didn't like the notion of adjusting rates in the middle, sometimes maybe up to 18 months after a general rate case, especially when the data you'd be using might be very stale if the Commission chose not to require a brand new cost of service study and brand new arguments on rate design, et cetera.

1 So the Company is whole, and the whole 2 argument's about rating agencies. It's not 3 unfavorable regulatory treatment to let them recover 4 every cent that they have -- of the revenue 5 requirement impact plus interest. 6 Unless they come before you and show a cash 7 flow problem that is hampering their style, I don't 8 think that -- I think that's a red herring. They are 9 recovering this money. The MPA statute allows them 10 to. 11 It's a huge concession to the Company that 12 very few states have. And I don't believe one other 13 state in their service territory gives them this 14 option. They'll have to go through the regular 15 regulatory lag route, as far as I understand, in every 16 other jurisdiction. 17 So it shouldn't be heard that this Commission 18 is not supporting them in their investment efforts. 19 We're the state that stood up and allowed them to 20 start recovering it immediately. 21 CHAIRMAN BOYER: So they don't, they don't 22 have the money in their account immediately, but since 23 it's accruing and accruing carrying costs they're 24 whole anyways?

MR. DODGE: Exactly. And the statute

requires you to let them recover it. There's no option. Once you approve the net revenue requirement amount and enter the deferral order -- it's not like a normal deferred accounting order where you reserve ratemaking treatment whether they will or will not recover it? This is one where they do get to recover it.

The only question is when, and how, and which customers pay it. And those issues are best decided in a general rate case. That's why that option was given.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: I know Mr. Hickey wants to say something.

MR. HICKEY: Thank you, Chairman Boyer. Just a couple of other comments, one to be fair of what I've learned about the Legislative history. And it's that there was a very cooperative working group, of which Mr. Reeder and Mr. Dodge -- I don't know from what's been shared with me how active the Division and the Office were, but I assume they were equally active at the table.

And that that cooperative effort did lead to what went through your legislative services office and came back. Has been in a bill that I think passed 27 to nothing in the Utah State Senate. So I think a

fair discussion of Legislative intent with these parties here requires somebody to acknowledge that. I think we're the party that should.

That said, there is a substantial difference in opinion, based on what I hear the argument today, about this is a chance to get a carrying charge on your major plant addition charges cost and what the Company believes the opportunity and the intent of the statute was.

Which is consistent with your introduction of the question, Chairman Boyer, of wasn't this about how to get away from regulatory lag yet a chance to recover. Yes, it was. And I think the proof of that is in further detail in the statute.

You can't even qualify for this statute if you don't have an investment that's one percent of your rate base. So the beginning point was this is going to be a major plant investment.

Then another condition of the statute is you can't file this if you haven't had an order in a general rate case within 180 days of the filing. That is so that you do have some confidence that the rates have been reviewed, the expenses of the Company have been reviewed recently, and there's some confidence that when you act on the cost recovery component of

1 this statute that you're not in a great distance from 2 when the last time the rates were reviewed. 3 And that, of course, the other requirement 4 that within 90 days of the application the plant has 5 to go into service. 6 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: I think you meant to 7 say 18 months, not 180 days. 8 MR. HICKEY: I'm sorry, I misspoke. Yeah, it 9 is 18 months. Thank you, Commissioner Campbell. But 10 those additional conditions of the statute were placed 11 in, in the law for the specific reason of addressing 12 how is the cost recovery going to occur, and what's 13 the timeline that it's going to occur in. 14 You were given the discretion to do that 15 outside of general rate cases, and we encourage you to 16 act on that authority. 17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Thank you. Thank you, 18 Mr. Hickey. 19 MR. PROCTOR: If I might, Chairman Boyer? 20 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Mr. Proctor? 21 MR. PROCTOR: Yeah, if I could address that? 22 What Mr. Hickey says is correct, except subsection 6 23 does not say, And by the way, the Company can change 24 its mind. And instead of deferring it to the next 25 general rate case, we're gonna defer it till the next

filing we make.

That section doesn't say anything about that. The deferral in MPA I is set in stone, if you will. And as Mr. Dodge described, they're getting that recovery in the next general rate case. That's a bargain, but that's the statute.

There is no exception for the Company deciding they don't -- they want to get it now.

That's the decision that they should have requested in the very first place.

So his descrip -- Mr. Hickey's description of the way the statute's supposed to work is correct, and Mr. Dodge is very correct in his interpretation. But the Company is simply in error when it reserves for itself the right to request early collection. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. I want to ask a few questions on the express language of the statute, but I have a couple of other questions as well. And I'd like to hear from Rocky Mountain Power on this question, and it's one raised by UAE.

And I'm putting words in their mouth, but essentially they're saying that it is inappropriate to use stale -- shall we say stale billing determinants in a load growth environment because that will assure

over-collection.

MR. HICKEY: Well, I think it's back to the, the 18 months since you last visited the cost of service study. And the cost of service study that has been -- would be available to create the rate spread in this docket would have the adjustment that you directed out of the last general rate case order in February on the adjustment for income taxes.

What's the Company response to that?

So I would say that you have a cost of service study that was satisfactory in the last rate case. The -- one of the two major points that you criticized on it in directing it into the working group has been addressed. And so we have something that you have the confidence of having seen recently in the context of a general rate case.

The position of UIEC to go ahead and just wait until the working group comes back and then let you see what the working group's recommendations are is just another black hole, if you will, to cause further delay.

We don't know what the working group's recommendation is gonna be. We don't know, if they had one, whether or not you would ultimately adopt the working group's recommendation.

We do know that you've had the confidence of seeing sworn testimony and applying your own expertise and reviews of the study that's in place and would be applied to develop the spread of these additional increases.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Thank you.

Anything further, Mr. Dodge?

MR. DODGE: Well, I guess I'll just point out that I think Mr. Hickey has tacitly conceded the point. And I think there's no argument that when you have a test period, in order to look at the net revenue requirement impact of a new plant addition, to make any sense I think the Company properly said, We've gotta be looking forward. This doesn't come into effect until the end of 2010, and so we've gotta look forward from that to know what the revenue requirement impact is. So we're looking through the year 2011.

But the billing determinants that were determined in the last rate case were effective for the test period June '09 through June '010. Or July '09 through June '10. So by definition there's going to be a great lag between the, you know, the average numbers you use in that test period and a test period looking forward into 2011.

1 And in an increasing sales environment that 2 this company has had consistently through -- even 3 through the recession, it will guarantee I don't -- it's a mathematical 4 over-recovery. 5 certainty. You can't dispute it. 6 It will likewise guarantee under-recovery if 7 they're -- if they have declining load. In which case 8 I'm sure they'd be here arguing for a deferral so they 9 aren't assured of under-recovery. 10 You have the discretion to defer to a general 11 rate case in large part so that you can make that 12 match again. You can match the revenue requirement 13 impacts with the proper billing determinants and set 14 just and reasonable rates. 15 MR. HICKEY: Chairman Boyer, could I just 16 take a --17 CHAIRMAN BOYER: You may. 18 MR. HICKEY: Try to do it very quickly. 19 There is another point that -- to the credit of the 20 Commission and your procedural schedule I believe it's 21 the hearing on the 13th of December -- that is 22 contingently set on the assumptions of no settlement, 23 and on the assumption that there is still a cost of 24 service rate spread issue in the case. 25 You will hear from Craig Paice again, the

cost of service witness for the Company. You'll hear from Bill Griffith again, the rate spread person. And have the ability, with that record in front of you and any questions that intervenors may ask at that time, to know that you're going to look at this contemporaneously with, hopefully, the entry of the order or near the entry of the order approving cost recovery.

MR. REEDER: But therein lies the problem. That testimony is already on this record. We have looked at that testimony. That testimony uses the billing determinants from 2007 and 2008, and we've got the revenue requirements from 2010. So we've got this gross mismatch in years.

If I were the Company arguing your test year selection I'd direct your attention to 54-4-4, where the description for billing determinants talks in language of the test year being appropriate to the period when the rates will be in effect.

I think you need to think about that language as you talk about what the appropriate test year is. Taking into consideration Mr. Dodge's point that if you don't, it's a mathematical certainty with the rising -- the growing environment, there will be an over-earning.

MR. DODGE: Can I just respond briefly?

Because this kind of gives illustration to the point here, or the problem here. When we have a rate case we have a very short time to do it in. But at least it's eight months, right?

And we have to go through a major revenue requirement battle, and a major cost of service battle, and a major rate design battle. And often you end up segregating those latter two because the statute doesn't require them to be determined in advance.

It's difficult to have and complete all of the things necessary to do an adequate job in a rate case and for you to reach a reasonable conclusion on just and reasonable rates in that time frame.

Here we've got one -- here we've got five months to do the entire thing. And you've heard the Division and the Company argue this was not intended to duplicate a general rate case. And in their filing they did not use a new cost of service study. They did not come up with new billing determinants, they used the old ones.

And yes we could, in theory, come up and do our own load growth projections, and they'd laugh at them. What did you use to make your load growth

1	projections?
2	Well, we don't have any basis for it. The
3	Company comes up with those and then we can, we can
4	critique it. We don't have access to the data
5	necessary to project the load growth determinant.
6	So if they're intending in December to come
7	back with new load billing determinants, and a new
8	cost of service study, and a new rate design position,
9	we won't have had a chance to look at it before then
10	or respond to it.
11	So that's why you were given the discretion,
12	with only 150 days to resolve this, to put that kind
13	of a battle off to a general rate case when you decide
14	deferral is the appropriate option.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you.
16	Did you want to say anything else,
17	Mr. Hickey?
18	MR. HICKEY: Oh, I probably should exercise
19	good discretion and not. But if you're not completely
20	worn out of this argument yet, I would take half of a
21	minute to say something.
22	CHAIRMAN BOYER: Go ahead.
23	MR. HICKEY: I think we're back to what the
24	fundamental tensions are about the differing views of
25	the statute. This end of the room wants all these

issues pushed to a general rate case.

At least this table and I think a portion of the table to my left want to give effect to what the legislature intended, which was an alternative to a general rate case.

And the answer to the, the fear of Mr. Dodge and Mr. Reeder is, again, the last time you looked at these issues was within a general rate case that was tried last December and an order that was entered in February. So these aren't stale issues, they're issues that you have recently reviewed.

And I think that's why that further limitation was embedded in the statute. So that you had confidence that the Commission had seen the issues in a relatively short period of time. And that you could then act on that confidence to select a cost recovery vehicle or mechanism for these major plant addition costs.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. I think we understand everyone's position on that. Let me, let me ask another couple of questions then. As I read 54-7-13(4)(5) I think we have four alternatives. Someone said we have two, someone said we have three, someone said we have four.

I think that we can defer the net revenue

1 requirement for recovery in a general rate case. That's one alternative. The second is we can adjust 2 3 rates under 5(b.) A third is we can otherwise 4 establish a collection method that will apply the 5 appropriate billing components. And that's three. 0r 6 four, all of the above. 7 I mean, that's the way I'm reading it is that 8 we have four alternatives. Now, having said -- and I 9 may be wrong on that, but I -- that's the way I'm 10 reading it. Now, having said that, does the language 11 show do one or all of the following require that they 12 all be done at the same instant? 13 Or can we do something for a while and then 14 change to something else a little bit later? 15 Particularly I ask this question in the context of 16 reading subsection 6(b.) We're clearly talking about 17 deferral here. 18 And it says the deferral described in this 19 section -- and the deferral is, under 5(a), deferred 20 to a general rate -- deferred to recovery in a general 21 rate case. Okay, (b) -- 6(b) says: 22 "The deferral described in this 23 section shall terminate upon a final 24 Commission order that provides for 25 recovery in rates of all or any part of

the net revenue requirement impacts of a major plant addition."

Now, that -- I suppose that Commission order could be in a general rate case, but I don't understand why it couldn't be in another order. For example, an order now.

To Mr. Reeder.

MR. REEDER: It could be in a general rate case. It could be in an investigation case. But other than that, I don't see any authority for you to do it. So I think that's where, where the answer to your question lies in terms of your authority to do it.

But let's, let's go back and look at your proposition about what your choice is. I think you're right, I think you do have a number of choices. I think we outlined in our brief a number of choices. We may have come up with five, or three, but I think you do have a number of choices.

You may allow it in part. You may allow it in whole. You may allow it with conditions. But after you've made that decision on what you're going to do, you make a second decision. Are we going to allow it to flow into rates, or are we going to defer it?

You don't reconsider that decision every time a major plant addition is filed. You've deferred it. It's deferred to a general rate case, unless you go to an investigation. And then, if it's deferred to a general rate case or an investigation, then you flow it into rates. Following your decision on the rate order or the revenue requirement to allow it all, allow some part of it, or allow none of it.

You could well say in this case, We're going to allow you to accrue earnings on the total amount of your investment, subject to our determination in a general rate case that you're not entitled to recover all of it because we want to slice off the merchant part of this plant and not allow you to do it.

But we don't want you to be barred by the bar against retroactive ratemaking, as we've done before. We'll allow you to recover it. We'll allow you to accrue it all in this account. But that doesn't mean you're going to get it all when it comes to a general rate case. We're just trying to get past the bar on retroactive ratemaking.

So you're right, you do have discretion on how you can do it. But I don't know there that you can get -- unwind it anywhere except in a general rate case or in an investigation.

1 CHAIRMAN BOYER: Let me restate my question. 2 Why does 6(b) contemplate the termination of the 3 deferral by order if it's not in a general rate case? MR. REEDER: Because the deferral is an 4 5 account deferral where they're setting up and creating 6 a regulatory asset. You have to end the life of that 7 regulatory asset. And that regulatory asset's life 8 ends when it becomes part of the rate base. 9 CHAIRMAN BOYER: And your position is that 10 that's at the end of the general rate case? 11 MR. REEDER: No, that's when you issue an 12 order deciding when it goes into rates. That can be a general rate case, it can be subsequent general cases 13 14 because you could allow it to accrue for a period of 15 time, or it could be an investigation. 16 You could flow it in in tranches, you could flow it in all at once, you could flow it in a general 17 18 rate case. But the termination language is -- and 19 here I'm blending Commissioner Allen's expertise. 20 This simply says, We're ending the accrual of the 21 creation of a regulatory asset and we're folding it 22 into rate base. That's what that says. 23 So we're stopping the carry charge because 24 it's now in rate base. That's an accounting 25 convenience. It isn't a separate grant of authority.

1 It simply says at that point you stop accruing and 2 should begin earning. 3 CHAIRMAN BOYER: I'm reading Mr. Dodge's body 4 language. I think you want to say one more thing. 5 MR. DODGE: I do, if you don't mind. I mean, 6 I take a simplistic approach -- it's what I was taught 7 in law school -- about reading the statues. You start in 4, and it says "The Commission shall." This is 8 9 your order. This is what you're required by statute to 10 11 do: One, review the application. Two, approve, 12 approve with conditions, or deny cost recovery. And 13 three, enter an order on cost recovery. All within 14 150 days. Okay? 15 So you -- you're required by statute, within 16 150 days, to do those three things, including entering 17 an order on cost recovery. Which you did. And then 18 it goes on to say, In that order on cost recovery, 19 which must be entered within 150 days, you're allowed 20 to do one of those four things you described. 21 Which did you choose? You chose deferral. 22 And under 5(a) what does it say about deferral? It's 23 to defer the State's share for recovery in general 24 rate cases. 25 I'm not gonna argue today -- I haven't

74

thought it through -- whether back when you entered your order on deferral if you had said, We're going to defer it until the MPA II case is resolved and then put it into rates, I'm not gonna argue whether you could or could not have done that. I haven't thought it through.

But you didn't. You said, We're gonna defer

But you didn't. You said, We're gonna defer it. And by the statute it's only for recovery in general rate cases.

If you then try and read 6(b) the way that it's been suggested that the deferral shall terminate upon any final Commission order -- meaning you can enter an order anytime terminating it -- then you've written out of 5(a) "for recovery in general rate cases."

That makes that clause meaningless if you interpret 6(b) to be, We can enter an order anytime we want and stop the deferral and put it in rates.

Again, I'm not here arguing whether you should or shouldn't have that discretion if we were doing this statute all over again. But you don't.

It says you -- if you defer it, which you have to decide within 150 days, it goes to general rate cases for resolution of how it's collected.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay, thank you.

Any further comments?

MR. HICKEY: Let me just say I agree with the premise of your question. I think you do have at least those four options.

And I would say that the interpretation that's offered by both Mr. Dodge and Mr. Reeder wants to add language to the statute in 6 and insert a phrase after deferral order that has been entered in a general rate case. And that is, by any principle of statutory construction, not allowed.

What would be rendered meaningless under their interpretation of the statute is this delegation of authority to the Commission to -- that is in 5, where it says: "The Commission shall do one or all of the following."

And "all" becomes meaningless if you cannot defer and then enter a collection vehicle. Either adjusting rates or some other methodology.

CHAIRMAN BOYER: Okay. Well, this has been very, very helpful to me, and I'm sure to my colleagues here on the bench. We will take this matter under advisement. And that will conclude this hearing. Thank you all for your participation.

(The hearing was concluded at 4:29 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2 3 4	STATE OF UTAH ) ) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )
5 6 7 8 9	This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, KELLY L. WILBURN, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter in and for the State of Utah.  That the proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting. And that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages,
10 11 12 13 14	numbered 1 through 76, inclusive.  I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.  SIGNED ON THIS 6th DAY OF October, 2010.
15 16 17 18	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Utah CSR No. 109582-7801
19 20	
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	
25	77

\$	<b>24</b> [1] - 26:18	<b>able</b> [1] - 44:3	37:20, 63:14	allocating [1] - 14:10
•	<b>27</b> [1] - 59:24	<b>absence</b> [2] - 8:22,	addressing [2] -	allocation [2] -
<b>*4=</b> 44.4.04.0	<b>28</b> [1] - 4:1	46:25	33:16, 61:11	14:18, 15:3
<b>\$15</b> [2] - 11:1, 24:3		<b>absolute</b> [1] - 30:4	adequate [1] - 67:13	<b>allow</b> [16] - 8:8,
<b>\$200</b> [2] - 16:3, 16:5	3	accept [1] - 49:15	adequately [1] -	24:18, 25:6, 44:21,
<b>\$30</b> [4] - 56:12,		access [2] - 53:7,	28:14	71:20, 71:21, 71:24,
56:14, 56:17	<b>30.8</b> [1] - 23:9	68:4	adjust [8] - 34:13,	72:7, 72:8, 72:10,
<b>\$925,000</b> [1] - 45:20	<b>36</b> [1] - 26:20	account [4] - 42:4,	36:8, 37:9, 48:23,	72:14, 72:17, 73:14
Ī	<b>39</b> [1] - 36:1	58:22, 72:18, 73:5	48:24, 50:18, 57:3,	allowance [1] - 48:14
-	<b>3:02</b> [1] - 4:1	accounting [4] -	70:2	allowed [3] - 58:19,
	3.02[1] - 4.1	48:21, 51:23, 59:4,	adjusting [3] - 24:15,	74:19, 76:10
<b>'010</b> [1] - 64:21	4	73:24	57:20, 76:18	<b>allows</b> [2] - 32:10,
<b>'09</b> [2] - 64:21, 64:22	4	accrual [1] - 73:20	adjustment [4] -	58:9
<b>'10</b> [1] - 64:22		accrue [8] - 11:10,	37:13, 37:22, 63:7,	alluded [1] - 50:2
	<b>4</b> [1] - 74:8	19:24, 46:1, 51:4,	63:9	almost [1] - 29:23
1	<b>4.8</b> [1] - 26:18	51:18, 72:10, 72:18,	adopt [1] - 63:24	alter [1] - 47:11
<u>'</u>	<b>4:29</b> [1] - 76:24	73:14	adopted [2] - 26:16,	alternate [1] - 48:5
		accrued [6] - 11:1,	32:8	Alternative [1] -
<b>1</b> [3] - 13:16, 13:20,	5	11:9, 26:17, 26:19,	advance [1] - 67:11	33:25
26:15		26:20, 46:1	advanced [2] - 12:4,	alternative [8] - 10:1,
<b>1.4</b> [1] - 46:1		accruing [3] - 58:23,	12:6	10:2, 24:25, 39:11,
<b>1.5</b> [1] - 53:24	<b>5</b> [9] - 18:18, 24:7,	74:1	advances [1] - 11:13	45:14, 46:18, 69:4,
<b>1.7</b> [1] - 45:25	24:8, 34:4, 37:7, 40:7,	Accuracy [1] - 42:17	advisement [1] -	70:2
<b>10</b> [1] - 41:12	40:13, 49:25, 76:13	accurate [2] - 41:17,	76:22	alternative/cost [1] -
<b>10,000</b> [1] - 32:4	<b>5(a</b> [4] - 28:24, 70:19,	42:19	advocacy [1] - 33:2	49:23
<b>10-035-89</b> [1] - 4:6	74:22, 75:14	acknowledge [1] -	advocate [1] - 32:12	alternatives [3] -
<b>10-K</b> [1] - 20:1	<b>5(b</b> [2] - 36:7, 70:3	60:2	<b>affairs</b> [1] - 4:25	55:16, 69:22, 70:8
<b>10-Q</b> [3] - 20:4, 52:15	<b>54-13.4</b> [1] - 23:19	Act [2] - 15:13	afternoon [2] -	amortization [1] -
<b>10.5</b> [1] - 26:22	<b>54-4-2</b> [1] - 10:3	act [8] - 32:16, 36:23,	39:22, 53:22	42:7
<b>12</b> [1] - 26:17	<b>54-4-4</b> [1] - 66:16	37:3, 38:1, 49:18,	Afternoon [1] - 4:5	amortized [1] - 26:16
<b>13</b> [1] - 21:10	<b>54-7-13(4)(5</b> [1] -	60:25, 61:16, 69:16	<b>AFUDC</b> [4] - 19:14,	amount [9] - 18:21,
13th [1] - 65:21	69:22	acting [1] - 35:10	19:24, 44:22, 48:14	23:9, 29:18, 30:17,
<b>15</b> [2] - 23:2, 27:5	<b>54-7-13.4</b> [1] - 34:4	active [2] - 59:19,	agencies [4] - 34:22,	37:18, 37:21, 50:13,
<b>150</b> [8] - 10:10,		59:20	54:10, 54:11, 58:2	59:3, 72:10
28:25, 44:17, 68:12,	6	add [2] - 54:17, 76:7	agency [1] - 34:21	amounts [6] - 14:12,
74:14, 74:16, 74:19,		added [1] - 33:2	<b>ago</b> [4] - 7:13, 8:6,	24:2, 27:4, 27:5,
75:23	<b>6</b> [11] - 24:17, 24:18,	adding [2] - 33:18,	16:15, 34:2	31:11, 38:25
<b>18</b> [6] - 24:22, 29:25,	37:7, 40:8, 40:11,	43:7	<b>agree</b> [8] - 17:19,	Annotated [1] -
57:21, 61:7, 61:9,	45:21, 49:25, 50:4,	addition [28] - 8:5,	17:23, 30:21, 31:3,	23:19
63:4	57:6, 61:22, 76:7	19:3, 19:8, 19:9, 22:2,	31:14, 51:9, 53:11,	announced [1] - 7:12
<b>180</b> [2] - 60:21, 61:7	<b>6(b</b> [6] - 51:12,	24:11, 29:13, 29:19,	76:2	annually [1] - 19:1
	70:16, 70:21, 73:2,	34:5, 34:12, 35:13,	agreed [10] - 9:9,	answer [10] - 6:24,
2	75:10, 75:17	35:18, 36:2, 36:21,	17:7, 17:8, 17:9,	7:3, 18:25, 22:5,
		37:24, 39:7, 43:16,	17:12, 17:17, 17:20,	35:22, 39:17, 42:9,
<b>9</b> (4) 41·10	7	45:10, 48:1, 49:22,	30:12, 38:25, 56:23	42:10, 69:6, 71:11
<b>2</b> [1] - 41:12	'	50:9, 55:11, 55:23,	agreed-upon [2] -	answered [1] - 40:23
<b>2.3</b> [1] - 53:23		60:7, 64:12, 69:18,	17:12, 38:25	anticipated [1] -
<b>2.5</b> [6] - 56:17, 56:18,	<b>7.6</b> [1] - 26:20	71:2, 72:2	agreement [5] - 9:18,	53:19
56:25, 57:4, 57:5, 57:40		Addition [1] - 4:9	17:13, 17:14, 40:6,	anytime [3] - 29:13,
57:10	9	additional [9] -	40:7	75:13, 75:17
<b>2006</b> [1] - 43:15		26:18, 26:20, 26:21,	agrees [2] - 10:24,	anyways [1] - 58:24
<b>2007</b> [1] - 66:12	<b>90</b> (4) 61·4	33:11, 36:3, 36:23,	40:3	apologize [1] - 28:11
<b>2008</b> [1] - 66:12	<b>90</b> [1] - 61:4	39:10, 61:10, 64:4	ahead [2] - 63:17,	app [1] - 15:15
<b>2009</b> [2] - 35:3, 53:23	Α	additions [4] - 33:22,	68:22	appear [2] - 5:25,
<b>2010</b> [3] - 4:1, 64:15,	A	39:11, 39:12, 47:21	aligned [1] - 7:19	54:19
66:13		Additions [2] -	allegation [1] - 53:1	appearances [1] -
	1	33:24, 33:25	alleged [1] - 20:10	4:19
<b>2011</b> [3] - 38:21,	abbreviated [2] -		A II I 70 - 40	11 4.47
<b>2011</b> [3] - 38:21, 64:18, 64:25	abbreviated [2] - 7:22, 7:23	address [7] - 23:4,	<b>Allen's</b> [1] - 73:19	apple [1] - 4:17
<b>2011</b> [3] - 38:21, 64:18, 64:25 <b>205</b> [6] - 15:12,	7:22, 7:23	31:4, 36:1, 55:10,	allocate [1] - 15:16	application [9] -
<b>2011</b> [3] - 38:21, 64:18, 64:25		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	

	T	T	1	1
53:6, 61:4, 74:11	6:22, 9:7, 11:17,	bargain [1] - 62:6	<b>bit</b> [4] - 27:19, 47:11,	30:11, 32:11, 38:11,
applied [1] - 64:4	39:15, 46:9	barred [1] - 72:15	55:18, 70:14	38:16, 41:8, 55:25,
apply [2] - 34:16,	Association [1] -	based [4] - 28:10,	bite [1] - 4:17	56:1, 57:7, 57:11,
70:4	33:3	35:16, 35:23, 60:5	biweekly [1] - 42:2	58:23, 60:6
applying [2] - 9:2,	assume [2] - 56:17,	bases [3] - 12:3,	black [1] - 63:20	carving [1] - 49:22
64:2	59:20	12:4, 24:5	blending [1] - 73:19	case [55] - 6:17,
appreciate [2] - 43:7,	assumes [1] - 45:20	basic [1] - 7:10	body [1] - 74:3	7:13, 7:22, 7:23, 8:1,
46:7	assumption [1] -	basis [4] - 17:2,		8:21, 9:3, 9:4, 10:17,
approach [1] - 74:6	65:23	37:25, 42:3, 68:2	bonus [1] - 52:22	10:18, 10:25, 11:16,
approaching [1] - 74.0	assumptions [1] -		books [1] - 51:25	11:19, 11:23, 12:5,
43:2	65:22	<b>battle</b> [4] - 67:7,	borrowing [4] -	12:7, 12:8, 12:9,
	assure [3] - 7:6,	67:8, 68:13	52:12, 53:5, 53:6,	12:15, 12:16, 12:17,
appropriate [12] -		become [3] - 13:18,	54:1	12:18, 12:24, 13:8,
8:13, 11:24, 16:18,	19:10, 62:25 assured [1] - 65:9	19:11, 35:4	bound [2] - 17:10,	13:11, 13:23, 14:1,
18:22, 31:14, 31:16,		becomes [7] - 46:22,	46:3	14:2, 14:8, 14:14,
34:17, 46:11, 66:18,	attached [4] - 20:1,	48:15, 51:13, 51:14,	brand [2] - 57:24	14:17, 17:11, 19:3,
66:21, 68:14, 70:5	45:16, 52:16, 53:5	56:24, 73:8, 76:16	bridges [1] - 12:12	19:9, 19:17, 28:19,
approve [6] - 34:3,	attachment [2] -	becoming [1] - 43:16	brief [8] - 6:19, 7:1,	29:13, 29:19, 31:5,
46:24, 59:2, 74:11,	38:9, 38:10	began [1] - 14:21	20:2, 28:14, 45:16,	37:4, 40:18, 42:11,
74:12	Attachment [1] -	begin [2] - 4:19, 74:2	52:16, 53:11, 71:17	45:10, 48:1, 49:10,
<b>approves</b> [2] - 24:9,	26:15	beginning [1] - 60:17	Briefly [1] - 43:11	50:23, 57:5, 57:15,
24:10	attachments [1] -	begins [1] - 7:9	briefly [1] - 67:1	65:7, 65:24, 71:9,
approving [2] -	38:5	behalf [5] - 5:16,	<b>briefs</b> [3] - 6:16,	72:9, 75:3
50:12, 66:7	attempt [2] - 39:3,	5:20, 32:7, 35:1,	6:17, 7:8	cases [18] - 10:21,
arcane [1] - 43:6	44:21	53:17	<b>bring</b> [1] - 43:18	13:15, 19:2, 19:21,
architecture [3] -	attendant [1] - 6:18	<b>believes</b> [5] - 23:3,	<b>bringing</b> [1] - 39:13	28:23, 32:17, 32:19,
8:15, 8:16, 9:2	attention [3] - 14:6,	25:24, 26:6, 29:13,	<b>brings</b> [1] - 8:12	34:13, 36:21, 43:20,
area [1] - 49:20	14:15, 66:16	60:8	brought [1] - 39:13	48:2, 49:9, 61:15,
areas [1] - 36:23	Attorney [1] - 5:5	Ben Lomond [3] -	<b>Bruce</b> [1] - 53:19	73:13, 74:24, 75:9,
argue [12] - 11:25,	attorney [1] - 47:17	9:6, 9:8, 36:4	budget [1] - 42:2	75:15, 75:24
12:4, 13:24, 14:15,	August [1] - 13:17	bench [1] - 76:21	budgeting [1] -	cash [27] - 16:23,
17:25, 19:22, 29:3,	authority [22] - 8:7,	benefit [4] - 14:25,	41:23	19:22, 19:25, 20:5,
29:23, 46:6, 67:18, 74:25, 75:4	10:3, 10:15, 34:21,	15:5, 15:8, 47:22	budgets [2] - 19:1,	20:7, 20:10, 20:14,
argued [1] - 48:13	35:10, 35:25, 36:8,	benefits [2] - 7:19,	41:22	21:17, 51:3, 51:6,
arguing [6] - 16:19,	36:14, 36:17, 36:23,	8:11	<b>build</b> [1] - 30:4	51:9, 51:10, 51:19,
46:6, 51:8, 65:8,	37:2, 37:8, 37:9,	benefitting [1] -	burden [7] - 11:22,	51:21, 51:23, 52:5,
66:15, 75:19	37:10, 37:16, 50:17,	56:20	16:17, 16:19, 17:1,	52:6, 52:9, 52:13,
•	52:21, 61:16, 71:10, 71:12, 73:25, 76:13	best [9] - 7:20,	17:19, 17:20, 46:6	53:1, 53:2, 53:9,
<b>argument</b> [13] - 16:13, 30:20, 32:5,	authorize [2] - 24:4,	17:13, 18:23, 19:20,	burdensome [2] -	53:18, 53:24, 54:18,
, , ,	,	19:21, 21:7, 22:9,	17:5, 45:22	58:6
35:18, 38:14, 39:25,	34:8	26:24, 59:9	business [2] - 41:22,	Cash [1] - 53:3
40:3, 44:8, 55:18, 56:23, 60:5, 64:10,	availability [1] - 54:1	better [10] - 9:16,	41:25	cent [1] - 58:4
68:20	available [5] - 9:17,	18:18, 18:20, 25:12,	<b>buyer's</b> [1] - 17:8	certainly [1] - 32:15
argument's [1] - 58:2	10:11, 26:13, 26:25,	27:6, 33:21, 41:6,		certainty [2] - 65:5,
arguments [9] - 4:5,	63:6	42:20, 48:11, 48:24	C	66:23
4:7, 25:5, 28:9, 35:17,	average [1] - 64:24	between [8] - 11:9,		cetera [1] - 57:25
41:19, 43:6, 43:10,	avoid [6] - 17:24,	15:3, 21:8, 21:13,	cannot [1] - 76:16	Chairman [6] - 5:22,
57:25	18:8, 19:3, 36:22,	33:13, 41:11, 53:14,	capital [6] - 32:25,	27:18, 28:13, 47:7,
articulated [1] - 38:4	46:15, 46:16 avoided [1] - 22:14	64:23	33:8, 33:21, 53:8,	54:20, 55:20
assertion [1] - 47:25		Bill [1] - 66:2	53:14, 54:14	Chairman Boyer [46]
assess [1] - 14:11	avoiding [2] - 19:5,	bill [2] - 20:22, 59:24	capital-intensive [1]	- 4:3, 4:20, 5:1, 5:7,
asset [5] - 51:5,	49:9	<b>billing</b> [22] - 8:13, 11:24, 12:4, 12:5,	- 33:8	5:11, 5:15, 5:18, 5:21,
51:25, 73:6, 73:7,	В	, , ,	capitals [1] - 33:1	6:4, 7:5, 22:17, 22:21,
73:21	В	12:18, 18:9, 22:7,	carefully [1] - 42:19	27:7, 27:13, 27:15,
asset's [1] - 73:7		22:10, 29:16, 30:6, 30:15, 31:7, 31:10,	carry [16] - 16:13,	27:22, 27:25, 28:2,
assets [5] - 33:11,	backdrop [1] - 32:22	34:17, 62:24, 64:19,	16:14, 16:17, 16:18,	28:7, 31:24, 32:2,
44:22, 48:19, 49:5,	balanced [1] - 33:17	65:13, 66:12, 66:17,	16:20, 17:1, 17:4,	39:18, 43:3, 47:4,
49:6	balances [1] - 26:16	67:21, 68:7, 70:5	17:12, 17:19, 17:23,	54:21, 54:25, 55:24,
assistance [1] -	bank [1] - 16:24	<b>billion</b> [2] - 53:23,	19:11, 45:15, 45:19,	58:21, 59:12, 59:14,
53:19	Bar [1] - 7:11	53:24	45:25, 46:9, 73:23	60:11, 61:17, 61:19,
associated [5] -	<b>bar</b> [5] - 7:12, 8:19,	birth [1] - 43:13	carrying [12] - 16:10,	61:20, 62:17, 64:6,
accordated [5] -	43:19, 72:15, 72:20	Mitti [1] - 40.10		65:15, 65:17, 68:15,
		1		

50:19. 62:15. 63:1.

combine [1] - 40:11

**comfort** [3] - 7:23,

coming [2] - 11:22,

commence [5] -

commenced [1] -

40:1, 43:8, 59:15,

Comments [1] -

commercial [1] -

Commission [49] -

18:21, 22:25, 23:4,

23:15, 23:17, 24:1,

24:3, 24:9, 24:11,

24:18, 25:4, 25:6,

25:21, 26:2, 26:8,

27:2, 27:3, 32:3,

26:12, 26:24, 27:1,

33:19, 34:5, 34:19,

34:20, 37:6, 40:24,

41:13, 49:20, 50:11,

58:17, 65:20, 69:14,

50:15, 51:7, 57:23,

70:24, 71:3, 74:8,

34:18, 45:4, 57:2

Commissioner

49:12, 50:2, 51:22,

Commissioner

54:23, 54:24, 61:6,

Campbell [5] - 38:14,

Commissioners [1] -

Commissions [1] -

Company [26] - 4:12,

4:23, 6:11, 9:10, 9:12,

23:14, 35:2, 38:9,

40:5, 40:24, 49:3,

53:17, 53:20, 58:1,

58:11, 60:8, 60:23,

61:23, 62:7, 62:14,

63:2, 64:13, 66:1,

66:15, 67:18, 68:3

52:11, 53:13, 53:16,

47:5. 73:19

54:15, 54:21

61:9

75:12, 76:13, 76:14

Commission's [3] -

Commissioner [2] -

**Allen** [10] - 47:6, 47:7,

4:21, 10:5, 18:2, 18:7,

comment [1] - 42:25

comments [5] - 15:6,

10:3, 11:7, 22:4,

46:20, 50:10

70:4, 76:17

8:3, 46:24

44:17

22:5

76:1

15:10

48:15

60:22 60:40 72:4
68:22, 69:19, 73:1,
73:9, 74:3, 75:25,
76:19
<b>chance</b> [4] - 44:15,
60:6, 60:12, 68:9
<b>change</b> [6] - 29:6,
29:14, 45:9, 55:10,
61:23, 70:14
<b>changed</b> [4] - 8:9,
32:21, 49:17, 49:19
changes [1] - 18:14
changing [2] - 18:9,
30:3
chapters [2] - 18:1,
18:6
Charge [1] - 33:23
charge [11] - 17:12,
30:11, 32:11, 38:11,
38:17, 45:21, 55:25,
56:2, 57:11, 60:6,
73:23
<b>charges</b> [3] - 45:15,
46:9, 60:7
<b>choice</b> [1] - 71:15
<b>choices</b> [3] - 71:16,
71:17, 71:19
<b>choose</b> [5] - 30:5,
46:19, 57:3, 57:5,
74:21
<b>chooses</b> [1] - 34:23
<b>chose</b> [3] - 10:2,
57:23, 74:21
chosen [1] - 52:15
Circuit [1] - 14:22
circumstances [2] -
8:8, 16:6
City [1] - 8:21
classes [1] - 31:12
clause [1] - 75:16
clear [5] - 8:22, 9:20,
10:15, 41:25, 42:10
Clearly [1] - 36:14
clearly [2] - 50:3,
70:16
close [1] - 25:2
closely [1] - 16:4
Code [1] - 23:19
colleague [1] - 43:14
colleagues [3] -
19:22, 22:16, 76:21
<b>collect</b> [2] - 30:16,
31:11
collected [5] - 31:17,
35:14, 39:1, 42:12,
75:24
collecting [1] - 29:17
collection [17] -
24:16, 28:20, 34:14,
36:2, 36:11, 37:10, 37:14, 37:21, 37:23,
40:12, 50:6, 50:13,
70.12, 50.0, 50.15,
İ

```
company [2] - 54:9,
65:2
 Company's [3] -
4:13, 36:25, 46:8
 complaining [1] -
21:17
 complaint [1] - 55:21
 complaints [1] -
17:18
 complete [2] - 22:10,
67:12
 completed [4] -
12:16, 12:17, 12:20,
57:16
 completely [1] -
68.19
 component [1] -
60:25
 components [4] -
12:4, 12:6, 34:17,
70:5
 concede [2] - 11:18,
53:7
 conceded [2] -
35:17, 64:9
 concepts [1] - 51:21
 concern [1] - 46:8
 concerning [1] -
23:8
 concession [1] -
58:11
 conclude [2] - 24:23,
76:22
 concluded [1] -
76:24
 concluding [1] -
43:10
 conclusion [2] -
43:17, 67:14
 conclusions [1] -
25.7
 condition [1] - 60:19
 conditions [7] -
24:10, 34:4, 34:8,
39:14, 61:10, 71:21,
74:12
 confess [2] - 52:15,
53:7
 confidence [6] -
60:22, 60:24, 63:15,
64:1, 69:14, 69:16
 confronted [2] -
39:1, 43:15
 confused [5] - 6:5,
27:19, 27:25, 55:1
 confusion [1] - 28:11
 connection [1] -
28:19
 consequences [1] -
39:2
```

```
41:13
 consideration [1] -
66:22
 considerations [1] -
30:14
 consistent [1] -
60:10
 consistently [1] -
65:2
 constant [1] - 7:24
 construct [1] - 20:6
 construction [8] -
16:2, 23:25, 24:6,
46:19, 48:15, 52:18,
53:3, 76:10
 consumer [2] -
42:20, 42:21
 contemplate [1] -
73.2
 contemporaneousl
y [1] - 66:6
 context [7] - 7:2,
28:20, 33:15, 54:8,
56:24, 63:16, 70:15
 contingently [1] -
65:22
 continuation [1] -
19:14
 continue [2] - 19:16,
19:24
 continuing [1] -
19:15
 controversy [1] -
12:9
 convenience [2] -
35:20, 73:25
 convenient [1] - 45:9
 convincing [1] -
46:18
 cooperative [2] -
59:17, 59:22
 core [1] - 47:12
 corporation [1] -
34.9
 correct [7] - 6:8,
18:13, 21:3, 40:4,
61:22, 62:12, 62:13
 correctly [1] - 7:19
 Cost [1] - 33:25
 cost [40] - 7:25,
16:13, 16:14, 22:13,
24:10, 24:25, 25:18,
25:20, 25:21, 26:4,
31:6, 34:4, 37:24,
39:11, 46:14, 46:15,
47:23, 49:22, 54:1,
54:7, 56:7, 57:7,
57:24, 60:7, 60:25,
61:12, 63:4, 63:5,
63:10, 65:23, 66:1,
66:7, 67:7, 67:20,
```

```
68:8. 69:16. 74:12.
74:13, 74:17, 74:18
 Costs [1] - 4:9
 costs [26] - 7:19,
8:11, 13:7, 13:25,
14:3, 14:19, 15:16,
16:10, 16:17, 16:18,
16:20, 17:5, 17:19,
17:23, 21:23, 33:21,
35:13, 35:18, 36:2,
39:15, 41:8, 45:19,
54:14, 55:11, 58:23,
69:18
 counsel [3] - 4:24,
22:19, 55:4
 Counsel [5] - 18:3,
35:17, 35:22, 38:22,
39.8
 counts [1] - 7:6
 couple [7] - 7:14,
8:5, 10:8, 55:3, 59:15,
62:19, 69:21
 course [2] - 51:19,
61:3
 Court [1] - 8:20
 covered [1] - 28:15
 Craig Paice [1] -
65:25
 create [1] - 63:6
 creating [2] - 52:11,
 creation [1] - 73:21
 credit [1] - 65:19
 criticized [1] - 63:13
 critique [1] - 68:4
 cures [1] - 12:11
 current [2] - 19:25,
30:7
 customer [3] - 18:13,
31:11, 33:17
 customers [9] -
23:13, 29:16, 30:11,
38:7, 38:12, 39:1,
40:25, 56:20, 59:9
 customers' [2] -
20:23, 41:4
```

# D

damaging [1] - 30:10 data [4] - 31:1, 38:9, 57:22, 68:4 date [2] - 13:21, 13:22 Dave [1] - 4:24 days [13] - 10:10, 18:2, 18:7, 28:25, 44:17, 60:21, 61:4, 61:7, 68:12, 74:14, 74:16, 74:19, 75:23 deal [1] - 30:1

consider [2] - 28:9,

dealines of 0.40	
dealing [1] - 8:16	
debate [1] - 14:19	
December [3] -	
65:21, 68:6, 69:9	
decide [17] - 9:16,	
11:20, 11:21, 17:1,	
21:6, 21:12, 23:18,	
25:5, 26:10, 30:19,	
30:21, 31:8, 45:22,	
47:19, 48:22, 68:13,	
75:23	
decided [2] - 43:5,	
59:9	
deciding [3] - 23:15,	
62:8, 73:12	
decision [29] - 9:17,	
15:21, 16:25, 18:13,	
22:25, 25:1, 25:2,	
25:13, 25:21, 26:3,	
26:9, 26:25, 27:3,	
28:10, 28:19, 28:24,	
31:19, 41:14, 45:5,	
45:7, 45:11, 45:23,	
46:12, 51:7, 62:9,	
71:22, 71:23, 72:1,	
72:6	
decisions [3] -	
18:25, 21:18, 22:24	
declining [1] - 65:7	
deed [2] - 44:9, 45:3	
<b>Defer</b> [2] - 4:8, 50:18	
defer [30] - 12:1,	
12:2, 12:22, 15:23,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:5,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17  deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:5, 74:21, 74:22, 75:2,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:5, 74:21, 74:22, 75:2, 75:11, 75:18, 76:8	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:5, 74:21, 74:22, 75:2, 75:11, 75:18, 76:8 deferrals [1] - 26:3	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:5, 74:21, 74:22, 75:2, 75:11, 75:18, 76:8 deferrals [1] - 26:3 deferred [25] - 9:10,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:5, 74:21, 74:22, 75:2, 75:11, 75:18, 76:8 deferrals [1] - 26:3 deferred [25] - 9:10, 9:11, 9:18, 9:22, 9:24,	
22:1, 22:14, 22:18, 28:20, 30:17, 34:9, 36:19, 37:9, 38:16, 40:11, 40:14, 41:15, 41:18, 42:23, 45:5, 45:7, 57:5, 61:25, 65:10, 69:25, 71:24, 74:23, 75:3, 75:7, 75:22, 76:17 deferral [47] - 10:13, 10:25, 17:5, 22:1, 23:1, 23:4, 23:18, 24:2, 25:5, 26:10, 26:11, 26:16, 27:4, 29:22, 29:23, 31:21, 31:22, 37:19, 40:22, 42:7, 45:10, 50:5, 50:6, 50:12, 50:21, 50:23, 51:17, 55:14, 57:19, 59:3, 62:3, 65:8, 68:14, 70:17, 70:18, 70:19, 70:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:5, 74:21, 74:22, 75:2, 75:11, 75:18, 76:8 deferrals [1] - 26:3 deferred [25] - 9:10,	

```
difference [2] -
35:13. 37:3. 37:18.
37:21. 41:22. 42:11.
                            53:25, 60:4
45:12. 48:20. 57:9.
                             different [3] - 10:12,
57:10, 59:4, 70:19,
                            14:9, 43:13
70:20, 72:2, 72:3,
                             differing [1] - 68:24
72:4
                             difficult [1] - 67:12
 deferred account [1]
                             difficulty [1] - 11:8
- 57:6
                             direct [1] - 66:16
 deferring [2] - 24:14,
                             directed [1] - 63:8
61:24
                             directing [1] - 63:13
 defining [1] - 41:11
                             direction [2] - 12:19,
 definition [1] - 64:22
                            18:16
 degree [1] - 47:16
                             directionally [1] -
 delay [3] - 25:13,
                            18:15
35:9, 63:21
                             director [1] - 4:24
 delegated [1] - 34:18
                             disagree [1] - 47:15
 delegation [1] -
                             disagreement [1] -
76:12
 denied [1] - 39:6
                             disappear [1] - 10:10
 deny [2] - 53:2,
                             disappears [1] -
74:12
                            10:11
 dependent [2] -
                             discovery [1] - 45:17
13:22, 52:18
                             discrete [1] - 40:9
 described [5] -
                             discretion [28] -
43:13, 62:4, 70:18,
                            8:18, 8:23, 8:24, 9:1,
70:22, 74:20
                            9:23, 11:2, 11:5, 11:6,
 descrip [1] - 62:11
                            11:10, 11:14, 11:19,
 description [2] -
                            16:14, 18:24, 22:1,
62:11.66:17
                            22:3, 29:4, 29:5,
 detail [2] - 38:8,
                            34:20, 45:20, 49:25,
60:14
                            57:2, 57:17, 61:14,
 details [1] - 23:24
                            65:10, 68:11, 68:19,
 determinant [2] -
                            72:22, 75:20
22:8, 68:5
                             discuss [1] - 47:10
 determinants [16] -
                             discussed [3] -
8:13, 12:18, 18:10,
                            23:20, 24:7, 32:25
22:10, 29:17, 30:6,
                             discussion [3] -
30:16, 31:7, 31:10,
                            52:4, 55:6, 60:1
62:24, 64:19, 65:13,
                             diseases [1] - 12:11
66:12, 66:17, 67:21,
                             dispute [1] - 65:5
                             distance [1] - 61:1
 determination [3] -
                             distinction [2] -
8:14, 30:7, 72:11
                            50:24, 53:14
```

determinations [1] -

determine 181 - 7:18.

10:7, 16:14, 16:18,

20:5, 31:14, 31:16,

determined [7] -

16:21, 29:19, 30:17,

37:24, 40:13, 64:20,

determines [2] -

determining [1] -

develop [1] - 64:4

development [1] -

devil [1] - 23:23

18:21, 26:2

36:17

67:10

44:16

Docket [1] - 4:6 document [2] - 7:11, 45:16 **Dodge** [16] - 5:19, 27:13, 27:14, 27:15, 27:19, 28:3, 28:8, 28:12, 31:24, 44:7, 59:18, 62:4, 62:13, 64:7, 69:6, 76:6 **DODGE** [8] - 5:20, 28:13, 55:19, 56:1, 58:25, 64:8, 67:1, 74:5 Dodge's [2] - 66:22, 74:3 done [9] - 10:5, 10:16, 13:25, 15:4, 21:7, 28:20, 70:12, 72:16, 75:5 door [1] - 45:1 down [5] - 6:7, 22:21, 30:4, 35:5, 47:8 **DPU's** [2] - 26:14, 45:16 drafting [2] - 29:21, driven [1] - 21:18 due [3] - 15:10, 32:11, 41:21 duly [1] - 4:7 **Dunlap** [1] - 11:18 **Dunlap I**[1] - 36:5 duplicate [2] - 14:3, 67:19 duplication [4] -13:25, 16:8, 46:15, 46:16 during [3] - 48:14, 55:8, 57:16 During [1] - 53:23 Е early [3] - 38:21, Division [20] - 4:13, 38:23, 62:15 earn [9] - 19:17, 44:3, 44:22, 44:24, 23:24, 24:5, 25:24, 46:4, 51:1, 51:11, 26:4, 26:5, 27:3, 28:5, 51:13, 51:17 earned [1] - 19:11 54:16, 59:19, 67:18

64:15, 66:19, 69:3 64:20 32:10, 36:20 58:18 56:20, 67:5 57:3 69:13 49.20 35:24, 61:15 32:17 73:20 75:17, 76:17

earning [5] - 16:23, 44:22, 48:14, 66:25, 74:2 Earning [1] - 51:21 earnings [3] - 51:3, 51:18, 72:10 earns [1] - 51:4 East [1] - 14:23 economic [1] - 33:4 effect [4] - 26:12,

effective [5] - 13:18, 13:20, 13:21, 38:23, effectively [2] effort [4] - 22:12, 35:1, 46:16, 59:22 efforts [2] - 14:1, eight [3] - 56:10, either [5] - 29:15, 31:20, 37:13, 54:16, Either [1] - 76:17 electric [1] - 34:9 embedded [1] embodied [1] - 38:17 employer [1] - 41:24 empower[1] - 39:10 empowered [1] enacted [1] - 8:5 encourage [2] encouraged [1] end [14] - 4:17, 7:20, 17:14, 17:15, 22:1, 24:1, 46:21, 50:23, 57:8, 64:15, 67:9, 68:25, 73:6, 73:10 ended [1] - 44:23 ending [2] - 10:13, endorsements [1] ends [4] - 50:21, 51:13, 51:18, 73:8 enter [6] - 50:23, 59:3, 74:13, 75:13, entered [8] - 35:14, 35:21, 50:12, 56:10, 69:9, 74:19, 75:1, 76:8 **entering** [1] - 74:16 entire [3] - 24:24, 50:16, 67:17 entirely [1] - 47:21 entitled [4] - 33:23, 36:5, 46:4, 72:12 entry [4] - 50:14, 50:22, 66:6, 66:7 environment [3] -62:25, 65:1, 66:24 equally [1] - 59:20

equity [4] - 12:1,

16:7, 35:24, 54:8

distribution [1] -

6:10, 10:24, 11:8,

22:25, 23:3, 23:7,

38:3, 40:5, 44:18,

**Utilities** [1] - 5:5

38:10, 41:10

63:7

Division's [2] -

docket [8] - 35:15,

35:19, 35:21, 36:3,

37:3, 38:15, 39:3,

division [1] - 33:7

**Division of Public** 

<b>equivalent</b> [1] - 48:20	F	20:11, 49:1 financing [1] - 20:16	48:25 <b>functional</b> [1] - 48:20	gradu [1] - 18:8
		•		gradualism [5] -
error [1] - 62:14	face [2] - 15:17,	fine [3] - 5:9, 15:3,	fundamental [2] -	17:25, 18:1, 18:6,
especially [2] - 30:2,	15:18	32:12	45:2, 68:24	18:8
57:22	facilities [6] - 20:17,	First [1] - 40:2	funded [1] - 53:25	Gradualism [3] -
essentially [2] -		first [20] - 4:12, 5:11,	funds [1] - 48:14	18:12, 19:18, 19:19
42:16, 62:23	20:19, 20:20, 20:23,	9:5, 9:9, 9:19, 10:14,	future [5] - 12:2,	grant [2] - 8:22,
establish [8] - 34:14,	21:1, 52:18	10:18, 12:3, 20:10,	25:12, 30:8, 42:6,	73:25
36:11, 37:10, 37:14,	facility [2] - 20:15,	20:25, 28:3, 28:17,	52:1	granted [2] - 8:24,
37:20, 49:21, 50:18,	20:21	28:19, 35:15, 36:1,		21:9
70:4	fact [4] - 8:23, 31:3,	37:19, 38:15, 45:24,	G	great [2] - 61:1,
established [2] -	54:6, 54:10	52:25, 62:10		64:23
38:25, 40:21	facts [1] - 9:3	fit [1] - 19:18		greater [2] - 16:24,
establishing [2] -	fair [4] - 44:23,	five [2] - 67:16, 71:18	gain [1] - 41:17	16:25
24:16, 25:22	50:16, 59:15, 60:1	Five [1] - 40:10	Gary Dodge [1] -	Griffith [1] - 66:2
establishment [1] -	fairly [4] - 8:6, 8:17,	fixed [1] - 29:18	5:20	gross [1] - 66:14
37:23	10:14, 30:16	flexibility [1] - 24:18	gas [1] - 34:9	group [6] - 25:14,
	fairness [3] - 11:25,	• • •	Gateway [3] - 9:7,	
estimated [2] -	45:2, 49:13	flip [1] - 17:3	11:17, 20:17	25:15, 25:17, 59:17,
15:25, 16:1	fall [2] - 38:24, 39:2	flow [30] - 16:9,	general [60] - 9:22,	63:14, 63:18
et [1] - 57:25		19:22, 19:23, 20:7,	9:24, 10:19, 11:4,	group's [4] - 35:7,
exactly [1] - 30:9	falls [1] - 41:16	20:10, 20:14, 51:3,	17:15, 24:4, 28:21,	63:19, 63:22, 63:25
Exactly [1] - 58:25	familiar [1] - 8:21	51:6, 51:9, 51:10,	28:23, 29:15, 29:17,	<b>groups</b> [5] - 25:7,
exaggeration [1] -	far [1] - 58:15	51:19, 51:21, 51:23,		25:9, 25:11, 25:18,
42:1	<b>farm</b> [1] - 36:4	52:5, 52:6, 52:9,	34:12, 36:21, 39:2,	33:2
example [2] - 42:8,	Farm [1] - 36:5	52:13, 53:1, 53:2,	40:6, 40:14, 43:17,	growing [1] - 66:24
71:6	favorable [2] - 54:12	53:3, 53:9, 53:18,	44:14, 45:12, 50:15,	growth [4] - 62:25,
exceed [1] - 36:24	favored [2] - 32:17,	54:18, 58:7, 71:24,	50:21, 51:14, 51:16,	67:24, 67:25, 68:5
except [4] - 24:2,	32:20	72:5, 73:16, 73:17	54:9, 55:4, 56:9, 57:9,	guarantee [5] -
27:4, 61:22, 72:24	fear [1] - 69:6	flowing [2] - 11:3,	57:21, 59:10, 60:21,	20:18, 25:15, 30:4,
exception [4] - 8:4,	feature [1] - 14:14	20:7	61:15, 61:25, 62:5,	65:3, 65:6
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	February [2] - 63:9,	Flowing [1] - 19:24	63:8, 63:16, 65:10,	guarantor [1] - 21:2
8:18, 23:2, 62:7	69:10	focus [1] - 33:12	67:19, 68:13, 69:1,	_
exceptions [2] -			69:5, 69:8, 70:1,	guess [5] - 4:13,
7:14, 7:21	federal [3] - 20:3,	folded [1] - 10:20	70:20, 71:4, 71:8,	27:19, 48:3, 54:25,
<b>excuse</b> [3] - 27:19,	20:4, 21:8	folding [1] - 73:21	72:3, 72:5, 72:12,	64:8
29:25, 31:10	Federal [2] - 15:12,	folks [1] - 54:8	72:19, 72:24, 73:3,	guidance [1] - 26:8
<b>excuses</b> [1] - 35:9	15:13	<b>follow</b> [2] - 40:19,	73:10, 73:13, 73:17,	guide [2] - 23:15,
exercise [6] - 10:2,	fees [1] - 11:1	47:25	74:23, 75:9, 75:14,	26:1
10:15, 11:10, 35:25,	feet [1] - 32:4	Following [1] - 72:6	75:23, 76:9	
36:17, 68:18	FERC [9] - 15:2,	following [8] - 24:12,	<b>General's</b> [1] - 5:5	Н
exists [1] - 36:9	15:6, 21:9, 21:12,	34:7, 37:6, 37:17,		
expanded [1] - 34:19	21:13, 21:21, 21:22,	44:1, 50:18, 70:11,	generally [1] - 40:3	
expansion [1] - 15:1	52:21, 52:22	76:15	generated [1] - 53:24	half [1] - 68:20
expectation [1] -	few [5] - 7:7, 39:25,	forthcoming [1] -	<b>Generation</b> [1] - 36:5	hampering [1] - 58:7
54:11	47:8, 58:12, 62:17	23:13	generation [2] -	hand [1] - 18:4
	fight [3] - 30:23,	forward [8] - 11:22,	33:11, 43:24	Happy [1] - 39:17
expected [1] - 55:5	31:5, 31:22		genesis [1] - 43:11	hard [1] - 41:3
expense [1] - 13:10		17:2, 21:24, 46:2,	given [6] - 31:17,	hat [1] - 51:23
<b>expenses</b> [1] - 60:23	file [2] - 21:13, 60:20	47:2, 64:14, 64:16,	35:10, 50:17, 59:11,	hear [19] - 4:11, 4:15
experience [1] -	filed [11] - 6:16,	64:25	61:14, 68:11	17:18, 18:4, 27:8,
33:12	13:15, 13:23, 14:7,	four [6] - 69:22,	glad [1] - 27:11	27:20, 28:2, 28:7,
expert [1] - 13:9	14:8, 21:14, 21:15,	69:24, 70:6, 70:8,	going-forward [1] -	28:9, 31:25, 32:9,
expertise [2] - 64:2,	29:14, 38:20, 56:9,	74:20, 76:4	17:2	' '
73:19	72:2	frame [1] - 67:15	gonna [19] - 14:2,	39:20, 43:5, 46:13,
explain [2] - 48:7,	files [3] - 15:11,	free [2] - 13:8, 13:9	15:16, 17:6, 17:7,	46:14, 60:5, 62:20,
52:8	15:14, 15:15	frequency [1] - 19:21		65:25, 66:1
express [1] - 62:18	filing [4] - 29:1,	Frequent [1] - 19:2	17:15, 28:8, 29:3,	heard [10] - 13:17,
external [1] - 54:1	60:21, 62:1, 67:19	friends [1] - 5:13	35:8, 41:25, 45:22,	22:19, 35:22, 39:24,
	final [3] - 24:22,	front [4] - 5:24,	48:24, 51:25, 55:24,	40:4, 46:5, 52:25,
extraordinary [1] -	70:23, 75:12	15:19, 47:2, 66:3	61:25, 63:23, 74:25,	54:7, 58:17, 67:17
7:25	Finally [1] - 24:20		75:4, 75:7	hearing [8] - 4:4, 4:7
	1	fu [1] - 12:1	gotta [3] - 46:17,	
	financed (4) 20:16	fully 45.00	<b>gotta</b> [5] - 40.17,	12:17, 14:2, 55:2,
	financed [1] - 20:16 financial [3] - 19:13,	fully [1] - 15:20 function [2] - 21:3,	64:14, 64:15	12:17, 14:2, 55:2, 65:21, 76:23, 76:24

heavy [1] - 54:13 helpful [1] - 76:20 Hence [1] - 44:18 herring [1] - 58:8 Hickey [15] - 4:19, 5:2, 13:8, 27:8, 31:25, 39:18, 47:15, 49:13, 51:8, 53:15, 59:12, 61:18, 61:22, 64:9, 68:17 HICKEY [15] - 4:20, 27:11, 27:14, 27:16, 32:2, 49:15, 53:16, 59:14, 61:8, 63:3, 65:15, 65:18, 68:18, 68:23, 76:2 Hickey Evans [1] -4.22 Hickey's [1] - 62:11 **high** [1] - 17:9 hint [1] - 20:3 history [2] - 55:6, 59:16 hit [2] - 19:5, 19:6 hole [1] - 63:20 holes [1] - 35:6 honest [1] - 52:3 hope [1] - 12:13 hopefully [2] - 42:6, 66:6 horse [1] - 6:6 huge [1] - 58:11 hundred [1] - 29:25 ı

II [2] - 39:14, 46:14 illustration [1] - 67:2 immediately [5] -42:12, 44:1, 57:15, 58:20, 58:22 immensely [1] - 44:7 impact [8] - 26:11, 29:18, 31:9, 39:4, 56:3, 58:5, 64:12, 64:17 impacts [8] - 31:8, 31:15, 34:11, 34:16, 50:8, 50:9, 65:13, implication [1] - 48:4 implications [1] importance [1] important [12] - 10:9, 14:14. 20:13. 26:23. 41:14, 42:17, 51:24, 52:9, 52:14, 53:2, 53:12, 57:14

importantly [2] -

29:12. 40:25 imposed [1] - 16:5 imposition [1] -32:10 inadequate [1] - 13:2 inappropriate [1] -62:23 inasmuch [1] - 4:10 incident [1] - 5:8 including [2] - 24:6, 74:16 income [2] - 50:7, 63.9 increase [4] - 15:14, 18:18, 18:19, 18:20 increases [4] -23:12, 41:6, 42:22, 64:5 increasing [1] - 65:1 incurred [1] - 33:13 incurring [1] - 33:20 Indeed [2] - 28:22, 29:20 indeed [2] - 25:16, 28:5 independent[1] -51:20 indication [1] - 40:25 inequitable [1] -29:15 inescapable [1] -28:17 inferred [1] - 8:23 Information [1] -25:11 information [19] -7:18, 9:16, 13:3, 20:24, 22:9, 25:3, 25:10, 25:25, 26:1, 26:13, 26:25, 27:1, 27:6, 42:14, 44:10, 44:11, 47:2, 48:25 informed [1] - 15:20 infrastructure [3] -

32:24, 33:13, 36:4 22:8, 23:5, 23:18, 26:6, 26:11, 26:22, 32:25, 33:5, 33:10 initiative [1] - 33:16 65:24, 73:11 initiatives [1] - 32:24 issued [1] - 16:15 insert [1] - 76:7 inside [1] - 9:1 insight [3] - 21:20, 21:22, 49:13 insisted [2] - 29:21, 57:19 69:14 instant [1] - 70:12 Item [1] - 7:11 instantly [1] - 20:8 item [6] - 30:1, instead [1] - 61:24

intended [6] - 25:1,

intending [2] - 33:9,

36:22, 37:12, 49:16,

67:18, 69:4

68:6

intends [1] - 31:1 intensive [1] - 33:8 intent [11] - 32:6, 35:2, 40:17, 47:14, 47:19, 48:11, 49:8, 54:17, 57:13, 60:1, 60:8

interest [6] - 26:17, 26:19, 26:21, 41:4, 46:8, 58:5

interpret [2] - 47:18, 75:17

interpretation [7] -28:22, 32:13, 39:7, 40:17, 62:13, 76:5, 76:12

intervenors [3] -23:8, 26:5, 66:4 introduction [1] -60:10

invested [2] - 53:23, 54:14

investigate [1] - 10:6 investigation [8] -10:4, 11:7, 46:20, 71:9, 72:4, 72:5, 72:25, 73:15 investment [9] -33:8, 33:21, 36:24,

56:12, 56:13, 58:18, 60:16, 60:18, 72:11 investments [6] -9:7, 11:18, 21:11,

investors' [1] - 54:2 invitation [1] - 14:11 involving [1] - 14:17 issue [28] - 10:23, 15:24, 20:10, 20:13,

32:19, 40:8, 40:22, 41:22, 42:3, 47:14, 51:23, 51:24, 52:5,

52:9, 52:14, 53:9, 53:18, 57:1, 57:14,

issues [15] - 23:16, 25:19, 25:20, 26:4, 26:23, 35:3, 52:12,

54:17, 59:9, 69:1, 69:8, 69:10, 69:11,

32:16, 43:19, 46:23, 48:6, 56:19

itself [1] - 62:15

### J

January [4] - 13:16, 13:20, 18:18, 19:5 job [3] - 19:4, 67:13 Jones [1] - 41:23 jotted [1] - 22:21 judgment [1] - 42:16 judicial [2] - 7:10, 8:18 **July** [4] - 13:14, 13:17, 13:20, 64:22 June [3] - 64:21, 64:22 jurisdiction [1] -58:16 justice [1] - 29:10 Justice [2] - 7:21, 14:21 Justice Maughan [2] - 7:12, 46:22 justify [1] - 38:2

## K

**key** [1] - 34:6 Kind [1] - 7:2 kind [9] - 8:25, 17:21, 20:24, 43:6, 44:8, 46:20, 56:24, 67:2, 68:12 **kinds** [2] - 8:17, 10:12 knocking [1] - 45:1 L

lack [1] - 53:7 lag [1] - 64:23 Lake [1] - 6:7 Lakeside [3] - 43:16, 48:23, 49:7 language [11] - 34:1, 36:8, 47:25, 50:4, 62:18, 66:18, 66:20, 70:10, 73:18, 74:4, 76.7 large [4] - 41:7, 42:22, 54:2, 65:11 largely [1] - 14:18 larger [1] - 56:13 last [14] - 4:17, 12:7, 12:8, 12:18, 27:9, 31:18, 56:6, 61:2, 63:4, 63:8, 63:11, 64:20, 69:7, 69:9 **Lastly** [1] - 30:19 latter [1] - 67:9 laugh [1] - 67:24

law [12] - 9:20, 32:10, 32:15, 34:20, 40:18, 47:21, 48:1, 48:4, 49:17, 50:1, 61:11, 74:7 lead [1] - 59:22 learned [2] - 32:19, 59:16 learning [1] - 47:1 least [10] - 4:14, 6:10, 20:11, 27:9, 44:14, 47:16, 56:20, 67:4, 69:2, 76:4 left [2] - 57:2, 69:3 legal [9] - 4:4, 4:10,

21:25, 35:12, 35:15, 40:3, 42:15, 43:6, 43:7 legality [1] - 43:22 legislation [1] - 35:3 Legislative [2] -59:16, 60:1

legislative [10] -35:2, 47:14, 47:19, 48:11, 49:8, 55:6, 55:9, 57:13, 59:23 legislators' [1] -

legislature [12] - 8:5, 32:6, 33:16, 34:22, 35:11, 36:22, 37:15, 39:9, 40:20, 48:10, 49:16, 69:4

length [1] - 23:20 less [1] - 13:3 less-than-perfect [1]

- 13:3 level [2] - 16:18, 17:8 lies [2] - 66:9, 71:12 life [2] - 73:6, 73:7 likely [3] - 15:16, 20:20, 29:23

likewise [1] - 65:6 **limitation** [1] - 69:13 limited [5] - 8:8, 8:17, 34:21, 35:4,

37:14 line [1] - 19:7 lines [1] - 33:10 listened [1] - 55:8 load [7] - 30:3, 62:25, 65:7, 67:24,

67:25, 68:5, 68:7 Logan [1] - 8:21 look [25] - 8:25, 10:13, 16:4, 16:23, 19:1, 20:14, 20:25, 23:15, 24:17, 24:20, 38:4, 38:19, 42:18, 47:13, 47:17, 48:4, 50:4, 51:12, 64:11,

27:18, 46:14, 47:10,

million [25] - 11:1,

24:3, 26:18, 26:20,

26:22, 27:5, 36:1,

41:12, 45:25, 46:1,

56:12, 56:14, 56:17,

56:18, 56:25, 57:4,

mind [3] - 44:15,

minimize [1] - 19:21

minimum [1] - 37:22

minuses [3] - 7:24,

minute [2] - 34:2,

mismatch [3] - 14:7,

missing [1] - 50:25

misspoke [1] - 61:8

mitigate [1] - 39:3

money [4] - 13:7,

month [6] - 30:2,

56:6, 56:17, 56:18,

months [14] - 8:2,

24:22, 26:17, 26:18,

26:20, 29:25, 49:10,

56:10, 57:21, 61:7,

Moody's [1] - 54:5

morning [1] - 4:5

**Motion** [1] - 4:8

motion [13] - 4:13,

4:16, 6:11, 6:17, 6:18,

42:19, 48:13

most [4] - 19:1, 32:5,

61:9, 63:4, 67:5,

67:17

56:21, 57:4

52:1, 58:9, 58:22

57:5, 57:10

61:24, 74:5

9:14, 11:23

14:13, 66:14

68:21

16:3, 16:5, 23:2, 23:9,

57:22, 61:19

32:3

64:16, 66:5, 68:9, 71:14  $\textbf{looked} \ [3] \ - \ 23:24,$ 66:11, 69:7 looking [5] - 12:22, 47:18, 64:14, 64:17, 64:25 looks [1] - 14:9 lost [2] - 56:16, 56:18 Lowell Alt [5] -17:25, 18:2, 18:5, 18:6, 18:7

#### M

magnitude [1] -18:19 major [42] - 8:4, 9:5, 19:3, 19:8, 19:9, 20:19, 22:2, 24:11, 25:2, 29:13, 29:19, 32:23, 33:22, 34:5, 34:11, 35:13, 35:18, 36:1, 36:20, 36:24, 37:24, 39:7, 39:11, 39:12, 43:15, 43:19, 43:24, 45:10, 47:21, 48:1, 49:22, 50:9, 55:11, 60:7, 60:18, 63:12, 67:6, 67:7, 67:8, 69:17, 71:2, 72:2 Major [3] - 4:8, 33:24, 33:25 **MAP** [3] - 45:5, 46:13, 46:14 market [1] - 53:8 match [2] - 65:12 material [1] - 26:12 mathematical [2] -65:4, 66:23 matter [6] - 9:10, 9:21, 11:25, 42:11, 42:24. 76:22 Maughan's [1] - 7:21 mean [5] - 42:1, 55:18, 70:7, 72:18, 74.5 meaning [3] - 23:21, 24:19, 75:12 meaningless [3] -75:16, 76:11, 76:16 means [1] - 38:11 meant [2] - 55:22, meantime [1] - 51:20 mechanism [2] -24:16, 69:17 meet [1] - 39:14 members [2] - 4:20,

27:16. 28:5. 28:16. memorandum [1] -38:5. 39:6. 39:8. 39:24. 45:8 39:23 mouth [1] - 62:22 memory [1] - 55:9 merchant [3] - 20:20, move [1] - 14:22 21:3, 72:13 moving [6] - 4:16, mere [1] - 8:2 6:3, 6:4, 6:9, 18:11, merely [1] - 40:12 19:17 MP [2] - 39:13, 39:14 met [3] - 9:14, 11:21 MPA [4] - 28:19, method [6] - 34:14, 56:15, 57:15, 58:9 36:12, 37:11, 37:14, 37:23, 70:4 MPA I [7] - 23:9, 24:2, 27:4, 35:14, methodology [4] -49:23, 49:24, 50:19, 37:3, 50:23, 62:3 MPA II [7] - 23:9, 76:18 27:5, 35:20, 41:9, middle [2] - 14:14, 41:15, 42:12, 75:3 57:20 must [2] - 40:23, midst [2] - 14:6, 74:19 14:24 might [6] - 4:3,

#### Ν

narrow [4] - 8:6,

32:13, 39:6, 43:6

national [1] - 14:19 near [1] - 66:7 necessarily [1] -10:5 necessary [8] - 7:18, 23:6, 23:17, 25:4, 26:10, 27:1, 67:13, 68:5 necessitates [1] -26:7 need [14] - 16:4, 16:9, 18:25, 20:14, 21:5, 21:17, 21:18, 23:4, 24:3, 31:6, 35:5, 35:7, 35:8, 66:20 needed [3] - 9:15, 9:16, 33:5 needs [3] - 13:24, 14:15, 48:17 negative [1] - 43:22 net [12] - 29:18, 31:9, 31:15, 34:10, 34:15, 36:13, 50:7, 56:2, 59:2, 64:11, 69:25, 71:1 **never**[1] - 44:9 nevertheless [1] -42:10 new [22] - 11:19, 19:6, 31:6, 31:7, 32:10, 33:5, 35:2, 35:10, 47:21, 47:23, 48:4, 52:12, 55:22, 56:4, 57:24, 64:12, 67:20, 67:21, 68:7, 68.8 next [13] - 10:19,

38:22. 38:23. 39:1. 40:14, 41:23, 56:8, 61:24, 61:25, 62:5 Next [1] - 19:22 nice [1] - 4:21 night [1] - 18:12 Nobody [1] - 55:17 nobody [1] - 55:17 non [1] - 20:21 non-use [1] - 20:21 none [4] - 8:23, 8:24, 13:9. 72:8 **normal** [1] - 59:4 **note** [1] - 26:14 notebook [1] - 15:6 noted [1] - 28:4 nothing [4] - 11:12, 19:13, 55:22, 59:25 Nothing [1] - 31:2 noticed [1] - 4:7 notion [1] - 57:20 November [4] -12:14, 13:13, 13:19, 22.11 number [4] - 52:5, 71:16, 71:17, 71:19 Number [1] - 13:7 numbers [1] - 64:24

12:24. 17:15. 19:17.

### 0

observations [1] -53:21 obvious [1] - 55:20 obviously [1] - 43:4 occur [3] - 14:1, 61:12. 61:13 offered [3] - 35:9, 39:8, 76:6 Office [9] - 5:5, 6:12, 23:7, 39:23, 40:2, 41:10, 41:20, 54:17, 59:20 office [1] - 59:23 Office's [1] - 42:25 often [2] - 25:9, 67:8 Ohio [1] - 14:24 old [3] - 56:3, 56:16, 67:22 Once [1] - 59:2 once [2] - 29:24, 73:17 one [55] - 9:5, 9:6, 13:1, 13:2, 13:7, 14:17, 15:18, 16:12, 16:21, 17:3, 18:20, 18:25, 20:13, 21:16, 22:6, 24:12, 29:22, 31:12, 34:6, 34:7,

40:10. 40:24. 41:6. 41:7, 42:22, 43:1, 44:1, 45:24, 51:24, 52:1, 52:17, 52:20, 53:10, 53:18, 55:1, 55:4, 55:16, 56:6, 58:12, 59:6, 59:15, 60:16, 62:21, 63:12, 63:24, 67:16, 70:2, 70:11, 74:4, 74:20. 76:14 One [3] - 24:16, 25:18. 74:11 one-twelfth [1] - 56:6 one-year [1] - 45:24 ones [1] - 67:22 ongoing [1] - 48:2 online [1] - 44:1 operating [3] -10:10, 33:7, 54:13 operation [2] - 53:4, 53:14 operational [1] -48:16 operations [1] -53:25 opinion [1] - 60:5 opponents [3] -16:6, 17:6, 27:21 opportunity [12] -6:19, 19:16, 22:9, 44:22, 47:1, 47:9, 51:1, 51:2, 51:11, 51:12, 51:17, 60:8 oppose [1] - 4:15 opposed [3] - 41:18, 42:25, 52:2 opposes [1] - 28:6 opposing [1] - 6:12 option [6] - 30:18, 57:19, 58:14, 59:2, 59:10, 68:14 options [2] - 29:22, order [45] - 5:14. 16:15, 20:3, 20:4, 21:9, 24:23, 31:8, 35:14, 35:20, 37:5, 37:19, 37:20, 38:3, 42:3, 48:21, 50:11, 50:15, 50:22, 52:21, 52:23, 56:10, 59:3, 59:4, 60:20, 63:8, 64:11, 66:7, 69:9, 70:24, 71:3, 71:5, 71:6, 72:7, 73:3, 73:12, 74:9, 74:13, 74:17, 74:18, 75:2, 75:12, 75:13, 75:17,

36:24. 37:6. 37:16.

76:8

4:22

54:3

66.2

21:5

37:24, 39:7, 39:11,

39:12, 43:15, 44:13,

ordered [1] - 12:8 orders [1] - 16:22 Ormat [1] - 45:1 otherwise [6] -34:13, 36:11, 37:10, 37:13, 48:2, 70:3 ought [7] - 13:4, 15:19, 17:22, 29:3, 29:5, 44:2, 46:5 ourselves [1] - 21:1 outlined [1] - 71:17 outside [1] - 61:15 over-collection [1] -63:1 over-earning [1] -66:25 over-recovery [2] -30:5, 65:4 own [3] - 45:8, 64:2, 67:24

#### Ρ

p.m [1] - 76:24 P.M [1] - 4:1 PacifiCorp [4] - 33:6, 39:12, 53:23, 54:3 papers [2] - 38:10, 38:13 paradigm [1] - 32:22 paragraph [1] -53:10 **Parsons Behle Latimer** [1] - 5:23 part [21] - 4:14, 6:11, 9:19, 10:25, 11:3, 11:17, 19:10, 20:19, 38:23, 41:14, 45:25, 51:13, 51:14, 53:25, 54:2, 65:11, 70:25, 71:20, 72:8, 72:14, 73:8 Part [1] - 9:6 participation [1] -76:23 particular [6] -14:24, 40:8, 40:18, 40:20, 42:24, 55:7 Particularly [1] -70:15 parties [5] - 4:17, 4:22, 23:20, 32:3, 60.2 parts [2] - 24:20, 45:18 party [5] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:9, 45:8, 60:3 pass [1] - 53:21 passage [3] - 8:2, 32:15, 34:19 passed [4] - 30:15,

31:17. 31:18. 59:24 45:10. 47:21. 48:1. 48:15. 49:22. 50:9. passes [1] - 30:2 55:11, 55:23, 56:4, passing [1] - 49:18 60:7, 60:18, 61:4, past [2] - 10:5, 72:20 64:12, 69:17, 71:2, Patricia E. Schmid 72:2, 72:14 [1] - 5:4Plant [4] - 4:8, 33:24, Paul Hickey [1] -33:25, 43:16 Paul Proctor [1] **plants** [4] - 19:11, 43:24, 44:19, 44:20 pleading [1] - 26:14 pay [11] - 14:25, pleadings [1] - 43:5 15:5, 15:9, 19:24, 26:18, 26:19, 26:21, plural [2] - 10:22 42:3, 42:6, 49:5, 59:9 plus [3] - 57:6, 57:10, 58:5 paycheck's [1] -41:25 pluses [3] - 7:24, 9:13, 11:22 people [4] - 14:12, point [19] - 9:11, 14:23, 18:24, 42:2 10:17, 12:2, 23:18, percent [6] - 18:18, 30:1, 30:24, 33:20, 21:10, 36:25, 45:21, 57:6, 60:16 41:4, 42:4, 50:1, perceptions [1] -51:18, 57:18, 60:17, 64:8, 64:10, 65:19, perfect [1] - 13:3 66:22, 67:2, 74:1 pointed [1] - 30:12 perhaps [1] - 29:12 points [2] - 28:15, period [7] - 16:2, 63:12 30:8, 45:21, 66:19, 69:15. 73:14 policy [5] - 7:15, 30:13, 31:21, 32:24 Period [1] - 40:15 **Populus** [2] - 9:8, periods [1] - 14:13 person [2] - 48:10, portion [2] - 55:7, 69:2 perspective [5] posed [1] - 46:22 32:7, 33:18, 38:19, position [12] - 11:8, 54:7 persuaded [3] -11:13, 20:6, 20:12, 24:1, 24:5, 26:15, 31:20, 41:10, 41:20 43:1, 63:17, 68:8, persuasive [3] -69:20, 73:9 48:8, 48:12, 49:2 Posner [2] - 14:21, persuasively [1] -48.13 possibly [1] - 35:17 pertaining [1] - 41:9 Powell [1] - 6:7 phrase [1] - 76:8 power [2] - 14:22, pieces [2] - 6:18, 34:24 Power [2] - 15:12, **PJM**[1] - 14:22 15:13 place [4] - 41:15, powers [5] - 33:19, 52:25, 62:10, 64:3 34:18, 34:22, 34:25, placed [1] - 61:10 39.10 plain [5] - 29:11, precise [1] - 40:6 40:18. 40:19. 40:25. 47:25 predicated [2] - 20:7, 52:23 planned [1] - 39:21 preference [1] plant [41] - 8:4, 9:5, 19:3, 19:6, 19:8, 19:9, prefiled [1] - 47:10 22:2, 24:11, 29:13, premise [2] - 49:16, 29:19, 33:22, 34:5, 76:3 34:11, 35:13, 35:18, prepared [3] - 6:23, 36:2, 36:20, 36:24,

38:6. 42:4. 43:12. 48:9. 55:5 presented [1] - 26:7 presumption [1] -8:24 **pretty** [2] - 35:4, 43:25 **Previously** [1] - 47:8 previously [2] - 24:7, 37:24 previouslydetermined [1] - 37:24 price [2] - 7:20 primary [1] - 28:16 **principle** [1] - 76:9 principles [1] - 35:24 priority [1] - 5:12 problem [5] - 41:5, 47:12, 58:7, 66:9, problems [1] - 12:10 procedural [1] -65:20 procedure [1] -40:20 procedures [1] -43:25 proceed [1] - 6:14 proceeding [1] -22:4 proceedings [2] -36:21.54:9 process [2] - 44:6, 44:19 Proctor [6] - 5:15, 27:9, 32:1, 39:20, 43:3, 61:20 **PROCTOR** [7] - 5:16, 27:10, 27:18, 27:24, 39:21, 61:19, 61:21 produces [1] - 56:14 prohibited [1] -32:18 project [3] - 11:17, 20:17, 68:5 projections [3] -31:7, 67:24, 68:1 projects [1] - 20:7 proliferating [1] -49.9 prompt [1] - 52:19 **proof** [2] - 44:8, 60:13 **proper** [2] - 38:18, 65:13 properly [2] - 31:8, 64:13 proponent [2] - 28:5, 43:1 proponents [1] -27:21

proposed [2] - 15:7 proposition [3] -7:10, 32:16, 71:15 prospectively [1] -11:2 provide [2] - 39:10, 40:24 Provided [1] - 8:10 provided [2] - 8:12, 42:24 provides [2] - 9:21, 70:24 providing [1] - 19:16 provision [3] - 15:13, 36:9, 50:1 public [4] - 30:13, 31:21, 32:24, 38:2 public interest [1] -38:2 published [1] - 15:7 purpose [5] - 19:10, 38:2, 38:24, 49:1, 55:10 pursuant [1] - 51:15 push [1] - 33:2 pushed [1] - 69:1 put [6] - 13:10, 18:4, 51:22, 68:12, 75:4, 75:18 putting [3] - 13:14, 13:15, 62:22 puzzle [1] - 15:19 Q

qualify [1] - 60:15 quantified [2] - 38:8, 38:11 quantify [1] - 41:3 quarter [1] - 20:25 questionable [1] -43:21 questions [19] -5:14, 6:22, 6:24, 7:3, 7:4, 7:7, 9:13, 22:16, 22:19, 35:12, 39:17, 47:5, 47:8, 54:24, 55:3, 62:18, 62:19, 66:4. 69:21 quickly [2] - 44:3, 65:18 quite [3] - 38:4, 40:9,

#### R

43:1

rabbit [1] - 35:6 raised [6] - 25:20, 26:5, 38:15, 53:10, 55:17, 62:21

present [6] - 25:7,

22:15, 26:7

rate [27] - 13:15, 19:2, 21:10, 23:12, 28:23, 32:17, 32:19, 34:12, 36:21, 38:6, 41:6, 42:20, 43:20, 48:2, 52:22, 52:23, 54:9, 61:15, 63:6, 65:24, 66:2, 70:20, 72:6, 74:24, 75:9, 75:14, 75:24 rate base [7] - 36:25, 51:15, 55:11, 60:17, 73:8, 73:22, 73:24 Rate case [72] - 7:11, 9:22, 9:25, 10:19, 11:4, 17:16, 24:4, 24:22, 24:24, 25:2, 25:19, 25:25, 28:21, 29:15, 29:17, 29:24, 30:1, 30:6, 30:8, 30:16, 31:1, 31:18, 31:23, 38:20, 39:2, 40:14, 43:17, 44:1, 44:14, 45:12, 46:23, 48:6, 50:15, 50:21, 51:14, 55:12, 55:15, 56:9, 57:8, 57:9, 57:16, 57:21, 59:10, 60:21, 61:25, 62:5, 63:8, 63:11, 63:16, 64:20, 65:11, 67:3, 67:13, 67:19, 68:13, 69:1, 69:5, 69:8, 70:1, 70:21, 71:4, 71:8, 72:3, 72:5, 72:12, 72:20, 72:24, 73:3, 73:10, 73:13, 73:18, 76:9 rate design [3] -57:25, 67:8, 68:8 ratemaking [3] -59:5, 72:16, 72:21 ratepayer [3] - 20:18, 20:20, 21:2 ratepayers [9] -19:20, 20:8, 22:13, 26:12, 26:17, 26:19, 26:21, 46:9, 52:19 rates [56] - 7:16, 8:8, 10:7, 10:20, 13:18, 13:20, 13:21, 14:10, 15:14, 16:10, 18:9, 19:23, 19:25, 24:15, 25:23, 33:14, 34:13, 36:8, 37:9, 37:13, 37:22, 38:17, 38:23, 38:25, 41:1, 41:15, 42:3, 42:17, 43:18, 44:16, 46:5, 46:24, 48:23, 49:20, 49:22, 50:18, 51:6, 52:2, 57:3, 57:4, 57:11,

57:20. 60:22. 61:2. 65:14. 66:19. 67:15. 70:3, 70:25, 71:24, 72:6, 73:12, 75:4, 75:18, 76:18 rather [2] - 13:19, 19:23 Rather [1] - 15:17 rating [3] - 54:7, 54:10, 58:2 ratings [1] - 54:9 re [1] - 36:16 reach [2] - 26:3, 67:14 read [8] - 6:19, 7:7, 34:2, 37:7, 45:6, 47:20, 69:21, 75:10 reading [8] - 28:18, 29:10, 50:16, 70:7, 70:10, 70:16, 74:3, 74.7 reads [1] - 28:22 ready [1] - 6:24 real [2] - 37:1, 38:24 really [10] - 16:13, 17:24, 18:17, 19:14, 21:3, 21:16, 33:11, 41:7, 41:15, 55:17 realm [1] - 42:18 reason [13] - 7:15, 13:10, 13:24, 15:22, 29:20, 38:2, 39:9, 44:20, 48:8, 48:12, 48:13, 48:19, 61:11 reasonable [11] -7:16, 10:7, 16:6, 25:22, 28:18, 44:16, 46:25, 49:21, 65:14, 67:14, 67:15 reasons [7] - 13:6, 28:17, 29:5, 32:18, 36:16, 38:4, 39:5 received [1] - 55:22 receives [2] - 54:4, 54:10 recently [3] - 60:24, 63:15, 69:11 recession [1] - 65:3 recommendation [2] - 63·23 63·25 recommendations [2] - 25:8, 63:19 reconsider [3] -29:2, 45:5, 72:1 record [5] - 4:4, 6:8, 53:22, 66:3, 66:10 recover [10] - 57:1, 57:12, 57:15, 58:3, 59:1, 59:6, 60:13,

72:12, 72:17

recovered [3] -

33:14. 35:20. 39:16 recovering [4] -56:11, 56:25, 58:9, 58:20 Recovering [1] -33:23 recovery [37] - 24:4, 24:10, 24:22, 24:25, 28:23, 30:5, 33:22, 34:5, 34:12, 35:19, 39:11, 39:15, 45:10, 47:23, 48:6, 49:23, 51:14, 56:2, 60:25, 61:12, 62:5, 65:4, 65:6, 65:9, 66:8, 69:17, 70:1, 70:20, 70:25, 74:12, 74:13, 74:17, 74:18, 74:23, 75:8, 75:14 **Recovery** [2] - 4:8, 33:25 red [1] - 58:8 Reeder [17] - 5:21, 6:15, 22:17, 22:23, 28:14, 30:12, 32:9, 41:5, 41:21, 43:9, 47:4, 47:13, 51:22, 59:18, 69:7, 71:7, 76:6 **REEDER** [14] - 5:22, 6:16, 7:9, 18:5, 22:20, 43:11, 48:8, 50:24, 52:10, 52:13, 66:9, 71:8, 73:4, 73:11 **Reeder's** [1] - 41:19 reference [1] - 50:14 references [1] - 54:6 reflect [1] - 6:9 reflects [1] - 7:20 regarding [1] - 27:4 regular [1] - 58:14 regulator [1] - 33:18 regulators [1] - 54:4 regulatory [8] - 4:25, 42:18, 54:12, 58:3, 73:6, 73:7, 73:21 regulatory lag [6] -33:12, 55:10, 55:21, 56:21, 58:15, 60:12 rehash [1] - 24:24 rejected [1] - 39:9 relatively [2] - 7:24, 69:15 relevant [1] - 52:7 relief [3] - 9:4, 52:19, 52:23 remarks [1] - 43:10

55:14 remorse [1] - 17:8 render [1] - 25:21 rendered [1] - 76:11 renders [1] - 26:9 repeated [1] - 25:3 report [1] - 12:14 REPORTER [1] -18:3 representations [2] -30:25, 53:22 representing [2] -4:23, 5:5 request [3] - 29:1, 38:9, 62:15 requested [5] - 9:4, 33:9, 36:2, 36:19, 62:9 requests [1] - 45:17 require [3] - 57:23, 67:10, 70:11 required [3] - 28:25, 74:10, 74:15 requirement [26] -12:23, 14:8, 15:11, 24:21, 29:18, 30:7, 31:9, 31:15, 34:11, 34:16, 50:8, 53:1, 56:2, 56:13, 56:14, 56:18, 58:5, 59:2, 61:3, 64:12, 64:17, 65:12, 67:7, 70:1, 71:1. 72:7 requirements [3] -16:1, 36:13, 66:13 requires [5] - 19:23, 28:20, 31:21, 59:1, 60:2 reserve [1] - 59:4 reserved [1] - 21:9 reserves [1] - 62:14 resistance [1] - 38:5 resolution [2] - 9:24, 75:24 resolve [1] - 68:12 resolved [3] - 10:20, 10:21, 75:3 respect [24] - 6:23, 8:13, 9:19, 10:14, 10:17, 10:24, 11:11, 11:14, 13:1, 13:2, 14:4, 16:12, 17:3, 20:11, 20:14, 30:20, 32:11, 41:21, 44:5, 45:4, 45:15, 45:24, 46:12

respond [4] - 22:16,

response [6] - 6:18,

39:23, 42:24, 45:17,

49:14, 63:2

53:17, 67:1, 68:10

responses [1] - 40:4 responsive [1] -23:14 rest [1] - 39:23 restate [1] - 73:1 restrict [1] - 34:25 result [1] - 42:21 resulting [1] - 23:12 results [1] - 41:17 retail [5] - 20:8, 20:18, 21:2, 52:19, 52:23 retroactive [2] -72:16, 72:21 retry [1] - 31:2 return [11] - 21:10, 48:18, 49:5, 51:4, 51:13, 51:15, 52:22 revenue [23] - 12:23, 14:8, 16:1, 29:18, 30:7, 31:9, 31:15, 34:10, 34:15, 36:13, 56:2, 56:13, 56:14, 58:4, 59:2, 64:12, 64:16, 65:12, 66:13, 67:6, 69:25, 71:1, 72:7 revenues [1] - 31:8 review [1] - 74:11 reviewed [4] - 60:23, 60:24, 61:2, 69:11 reviewing [1] - 38:13 reviews [1] - 64:3 revisit [1] - 29:6 ripe [3] - 26:2, 40:22, 42:12 rising [1] - 66:24 risk [1] - 20:21 risks [1] - 54:3 **RMATS** [1] - 33:3 Robert Reeder [1] -Rocky Mountain [3] - 11:13, 15:11, 22:14 **Rocky Mountain** Power [4] - 32:7, 33:7, 38:20, 62:20 roll [1] - 57:11 room [3] - 32:23, 48:10, 68:25 route [1] - 58:15 row [1] - 5:24 rule [4] - 15:7, 24:6, 56:3 rules [1] - 23:24 ruling [2] - 29:2, 29:6

S

saddle [1] - 16:5

remedies [2] - 10:11,

remember [4] -

16:11, 18:1, 18:6,

10.12

			T	
sales [1] - 65:1	send [1] - 19:20	73:20, 74:1	58:20, 74:7	<b>study</b> [9] - 31:6,
<b>sat</b> [1] - 49:2	sense [3] - 37:5,	Single [1] - 7:11	state [5] - 21:8, 35:1,	46:15, 57:24, 63:5,
satisfactory [1] -	45:2, 64:13	single [6] - 30:1,	39:22, 58:13, 58:19	63:11, 64:3, 67:20,
63:11	sensitive [1] - 26:4	32:16, 32:19, 43:19,	State [1] - 4:24	68:8
save [1] - 27:8	separate [3] - 11:9,	46:23, 48:6	State of Utah [2] -	studying [2] - 25:10,
<b>saw</b> [1] - 33:4	22:4, 73:25	single-issue [1] -	40:19, 49:17	25:18
SB 26 [4] - 43:25,	SEPTEMBER [1] -	32:19	State's [5] - 34:10,	<b>style</b> [1] - 58:7
44:6, 44:13, 44:19	4:1	Single-Item [1] -	34:15, 36:12, 50:7,	Subject [1] - 34:8
scenario [1] - 56:16	September [5] -	7:11	74:23	subject [1] - 72:11
schedule [1] - 27:20	13:19, 13:22, 18:19,	single-item [5] -	statement [1] - 27:20	submit [1] - 47:3
schedule   [1] -	18:20, 19:6	30:1, 32:16, 43:19,	states [4] - 15:3,	subsection [9] -
65:20	serial [2] - 41:6,	46:23, 48:6	24:8, 33:5, 58:12	34:4, 36:7, 40:7, 40:8,
scheme [2] - 20:15,	42:21	sitting [2] - 5:24,	statues [1] - 74:7	49:25, 50:4, 61:22,
20:16	<b>serious</b> [2] - 9:13,	17:4	<b>statute</b> [82] - 8:5,	70:16
Schmid [4] - 5:3,	36:6	situation [1] - 42:2	8:7, 8:12, 8:16, 8:17,	subsequent [3] -
22:22, 27:7, 34:2	<b>service</b> [18] - 25:19,	<b>six</b> [2] - 8:2, 49:10	8:25, 9:1, 9:2, 9:21,	9:11, 36:9, 73:13
<b>SCHMID</b> [6] - 5:4,	25:20, 25:21, 31:6,	<b>size</b> [1] - 20:25	10:14, 10:16, 10:22,	subset [1] - 48:2
5:9, 5:13, 22:23, 28:1,	44:4, 46:14, 48:17,	slice [1] - 72:13	11:3, 11:11, 11:12,	substantial [3] -
28:4	57:24, 58:13, 61:5,	<b>small</b> [3] - 15:24,	16:9, 17:15, 17:16,	33:21, 49:24, 60:4
<b>Schmid's</b> [1] - 30:20	63:5, 63:11, 65:24,	41:6, 52:5	19:9, 19:14, 22:2,	succeed [1] - 49:11
school [1] - 74:7	66:1, 67:7, 67:20,	<b>smaller</b> [1] - 42:22	23:22, 24:21, 24:23,	<b>sudden</b> [1] - 18:14
<b>screw</b> [1] - 5:25	68:8	social [1] - 41:4	24:25, 28:18, 28:23,	<b>suffer</b> [1] - 42:5
scrub [1] - 20:11	services [1] - 59:23	sociological [2] -	28:25, 29:7, 29:10,	suffered [1] - 12:11
scrubbed [2] - 20:1,	session [1] - 55:9	18:17, 18:23	29:11, 29:21, 30:24,	suggest [6] - 12:21,
20:2	<b>set</b> [8] - 7:2, 8:8,	solicited [1] - 33:10	30:25, 31:2, 31:4,	15:22, 32:9, 37:4,
Search [1] - 11:2	19:1, 31:9, 31:10,	solution [1] - 43:21	31:12, 31:20, 32:8,	39:5, 40:23
Second [2] - 40:22,	62:3, 65:13, 65:22	<b>solve</b> [1] - 49:7	32:14, 32:21, 33:23,	<b>suggested</b> [3] - 35:6,
52:20	setting [1] - 73:5	someday [1] - 52:1	33:24, 34:1, 34:24, 35:11, 36:7, 39:7,	38:22, 75:11
<b>second</b> [11] - 8:4,	settlement [1] -	<b>Someone</b> [1] - 69:23	39:14, 40:8, 43:12,	suggesting [1] -
11:15, 11:16, 11:17,	65:22	<b>someone</b> [2] - 69:23,	43:23, 44:18, 44:25,	16:20
13:15, 13:24, 35:16,	Seventh [1] - 14:22	69:24	45:13, 46:19, 48:19,	<b>summary</b> [3] - 7:2,
38:10, 45:19, 70:2,	several [4] - 6:7,	sometimes [2] -	49:1, 49:3, 50:16,	7:4, 7:9
71:23	7:13, 10:21, 12:3	45:3, 57:21	50:25, 55:7, 58:9,	summer [2] - 18:11,
Secondly [2] - 17:25,	shall [9] - 24:12,	somewhere [1] -	58:25, 60:9, 60:14,	38:23
29:12	34:6, 37:6, 50:10,	41:11	60:15, 60:19, 61:1,	support [4] - 6:10,
secondly [1] - 52:20	62:24, 70:23, 74:8,	sooner [1] - 55:12	61:10, 62:6, 62:18,	11:12, 27:16, 54:4
Section [15] - 15:12,	75:11, 76:14 <b>share</b> [5] - 34:10,	<b>sorry</b> [4] - 18:3, 20:4,	67:10, 68:25, 69:13,	supporting [3] -
15:15, 21:14, 21:15,	34:15, 36:12, 50:7,	27:13, 61:8	74:10, 74:15, 75:8,	4:12, 55:2, 58:18
21:16, 24:7, 24:8,	74:23	Sorry [1] - 18:5	75:21, 76:7, 76:12	<b>supports</b> [2] - 28:16, 31:21
24:14, 24:15, 24:17, 24:18, 28:24, 37:7,	shared [1] - 59:19	sought [2] - 35:19,	statute's [2] - 8:6,	
40:13	shift [1] - 28:8	52:21	62:12	<b>suppose</b> [2] - 49:12, 71:3
section [9] - 36:9,	short [3] - 39:25,	spawned [1] - 43:23	statutory [4] - 23:25,	supposed [1] - 62:12
36:10, 40:7, 45:6,	67:4, 69:15	speaks [1] - 41:5	24:6, 40:16, 76:10	Supreme [1] - 8:20
47:21, 50:10, 62:2,	show [3] - 15:16,	special [1] - 14:15	stayed [1] - 41:24	surcharge [1] - 0.20
70:19, 70:23	58:6, 70:11	<b>specific</b> [3] - 37:25,	steed [3] - 5:8, 5:9,	43:22
sections [1] - 24:13	showing [1] - 11:22	47:23, 61:11	5:12	surprised [1] - 52:3
see [20] - 4:18, 4:21,	shows [2] - 8:10,	speculative [1] -	still [2] - 41:9, 65:23	suspect [2] - 6:21,
8:25, 11:12, 12:10,	26:15	36:16	stipulated [1] - 17:5	12:18
12:12, 16:4, 19:8,	sic [1] - 45:5	spite [4] - 16:7, 16:8 split [1] - 21:12	stipulation [1] - 46:3	swings [1] - 18:9
20:2, 20:3, 22:2, 43:9,	signals [1] - 19:20	• • •	<b>stone</b> [1] - 62:3	Switzerland [1] -
44:18, 45:6, 47:11,	signature [1] - 17:9	spread [6] - 13:4,	stood [2] - 32:16,	43:2
49:10, 49:14, 55:5,	significant [5] -	23:12, 63:6, 64:4, 65:24, 66:2	58:19	sworn [2] - 4:11,
63:19, 71:10	29:14, 30:3, 30:14,	spreadsheet [1] -	stop [2] - 74:1, 75:18	64:2
seeing [1] - 64:2	34:1, 38:12	41:11	stopping [1] - 73:23	sympathetic [2] -
seem [1] - 50:25	simple [1] - 16:13	staff [1] - 4:22	stops [1] - 48:14	44:2, 46:7
segregating [1] -	simplistic [1] - 74:6	stale [4] - 57:23,	straighten [1] - 6:14	sympathy [1] - 17:22
67:9	simply [12] - 19:6,	62:24, 69:10	strong [1] - 30:13	3,p, [1] 11.22
select [1] - 69:16	19:15, 29:1, 42:23,	Standard Poor's [1]	struggled [2] -	
selection [1] - 66:16	45:1, 45:9, 46:22,	- 54:5	43:18, 44:7	
Senate [1] - 59:25	48:1, 51:10, 62:14,	start [4] - 7:1, 56:25,	struggling [1] - 44:6	
	1	J. 1. 1, 00.20,		1

Т	23:5, 35:4, 35:13, 38:14, 39:25, 60:5,	U	uses [1] - 66:11 - Utah [7] - 7:10, 16:3,	15:14, 20:23 <b>Widow</b> [1] - 41:23
	74:25		16:5, 23:19, 32:6,	Williams [2] - 53:20,
table [5] - 4:24, 17:4,	together [2] - 37:8,	<b>UA</b> [1] - 23:7	32:15, 37:25	54:8
59:21, 69:2, 69:3	49:9	<b>UAE</b> [5] - 5:20,	Utah Office of	wind [1] - 36:4
tacitly [1] - 64:9	tomorrow [1] - 15:10	28:16, 29:12, 29:20,	Consumer Services	
talks [6] - 11:3,		62:21		Wind [1] - 36:5
24:14, 24:15, 40:12,	took [1] - 33:16	<b>UEA</b> [1] - 23:8	[1] - 5:17	winter [1] - 18:11
	tools [1] - 33:19		Utah State [1] -	wisdom [1] - 42:23
50:5, 66:17	total [1] - 72:10	<b>UIEC</b> [7] - 6:1, 6:9,	59:25	wishes [1] - 24:1
taught [1] - 74:6	totally [1] - 45:20	23:7, 35:6, 36:19,	Utah-specific [1] -	witness [1] - 66:1
taxes [1] - 63:9	tougher [1] - 37:1	39:24, 63:17	37:25	witnesses [2] - 4:11,
<b>Taylor</b> [1] - 4:24	track [1] - 12:15	<b>UIEC's</b> [4] - 4:8,	utilities [1] - 33:6	13:9
tensions [1] - 68:24	traditional [1] - 15:2	26:15, 28:16, 40:3	utility [1] - 15:14	word [6] - 9:23, 11:4,
term [1] - 13:14	tranche [24] - 9:5,	ultimately [2] -	Utility [17] - 8:10,	20:9, 34:6, 52:17,
Terminal [1] - 9:6	9:7, 9:9, 9:19, 10:14,	33:14, 63:24	8:12, 10:19, 11:21,	52:20
terminate [2] - 70:23,	10:18, 11:15, 11:16,	uncertain [2] - 30:15,	12:5, 12:6, 19:10,	wording [1] - 24:7
75:11	12:3, 12:24, 13:1,	41:9	19:16, 29:16, 32:24,	words [5] - 8:20,
terminating [1] -		under [19] - 8:7,		
75:13	13:2, 13:4, 14:17,	10:3, 15:13, 16:6,	33:20, 44:2, 44:21,	29:11, 37:5, 56:3,
	14:18, 15:18, 16:12,	28:18, 28:25, 30:5,	48:13, 51:1, 51:2,	62:22
termination [2] -	17:3, 22:6, 22:7,		55:21	worn [1] - 68:20
73:2, 73:18	45:19, 45:24	34:4, 35:10, 36:6,	<b>utility's</b> [1] - 30:3	worry [2] - 35:7, 35:8
terms [5] - 19:13,	Tranche [1] - 16:12	50:10, 56:3, 65:6,	<b>Utility's</b> [1] - 10:6	written [2] - 47:8,
19:19, 40:12, 52:11,	tranches [3] - 9:3,	65:9, 70:3, 70:19,		75:14
71:12	16:11, 73:16	74:22, 76:11, 76:22	V	wrote [3] - 18:1,
territory [2] - 54:13,	transmission [10] -	under-recovery [3] -		18:5, 18:7
58:13	14:18, 15:1, 15:24,	30:5, 65:6, 65:9		· ·
test [3] - 66:15,	16:2, 20:15, 20:17,	unfair [1] - 32:12	vacation [1] - 6:5	<b>Wyoming</b> [1] - 36:5
66:18, 66:21		unfairness [1] - 49:4	vantage [1] - 33:20	
·	21:6, 21:10, 33:10,	unfavorable [1] -	various [1] - 31:11	Υ
test period [9] -	36:3	1	vehicle [2] - 69:17,	
14:9, 14:10, 56:4,	treasurer [1] - 53:20	58:3		Maan (T) 41:22
56:5, 56:6, 64:11,	treat [1] - 18:24	unless [2] - 46:19,	76:17	<b>year</b> [7] - 41:23,
64:21, 64:24	treatment [4] -	72:3	vetted [1] - 43:25	45:21, 45:24, 64:18,
testimony [11] -	54:12, 58:3, 59:5	Unless [3] - 10:15,	Vicki Baldwin [1] -	66:15, 66:18, 66:21
23:7, 23:11, 23:13,	tried [3] - 14:22,	51:19, 58:6	5:24	<b>years</b> [8] - 7:13, 8:6,
23:14, 26:1, 26:7,	49:7, 69:9	unnecessary [2] -	view [2] - 9:12, 34:25	13:14, 14:7, 32:19,
47:10, 64:2, 66:10,	true [1] - 14:4	22:12, 22:13	views [1] - 68:24	42:6, 42:7, 66:14
66:11		unpersuasive [1] -	visited [1] - 63:4	
<b>THE</b> [1] - 18:3	Trust [1] - 46:10	25:6	1101000 [1]	Z
• •	trust [1] - 6:19		W	
theory [2] - 7:21,	<b>Try</b> [1] - 65:18	unpunished [2] -	VV	
67:23	try [5] - 13:7, 13:11,	44:9, 45:3		zero [5] - 16:16,
Therefore [1] - 25:24	13:20, 32:4, 75:10	unreasonable [1] -	wage [1] - 7:12	16:20, 16:24, 16:25,
therein [1] - 66:9	trying [8] - 13:10,	32:13	wait [6] - 24:3, 25:13,	56:11
therewith [1] - 39:15	13:13, 13:19, 19:2,	unreasonably [1] -		50.11
they've [5] - 11:23,	47:18, 47:19, 72:20	32:13	42:13, 55:12, 55:15,	
20:10, 38:11, 52:15,	turn [6] - 26:14, 43:9,	unwind [1] - 72:24	63:18	
53:5		unwise [1] - 25:13	wakeboard [1] - 6:7	
They've [1] - 38:8	47:5, 51:2, 51:5, 51:6	<b>up</b> [21] - 5:25, 18:4,	wants [3] - 59:12,	
third [1] - 70:3	turning [1] - 36:20	18:16, 23:25, 25:16,	68:25, 76:6	
	twelfth [1] - 56:6	25:17, 29:24, 29:25,	waste [1] - 12:21	
three [6] - 42:7,	twice [1] - 14:2		wasted [1] - 14:2	
69:23, 70:5, 71:18,	Two [2] - 7:21, 74:11	30:4, 33:16, 41:2,	ways [1] - 40:10	
74:13, 74:16	two [26] - 6:16, 6:17,	43:21, 57:4, 57:21,	weeks [2] - 16:15,	
throughout [2] -		58:19, 67:9, 67:21,	41:24	
	9:3, 9:8, 12:24, 13:5.		T1.44	
33:1, 54:13	9:3, 9:8, 12:24, 13:5, 13:6, 14:18, 16:11.	67:23, 68:3, 71:18,		
33:1, 54:13 thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8	13:6, 14:18, 16:11,	67:23, 68:3, 71:18, 73:5	Welcome [1] - 5:1	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15,		Welcome [1] - 5:1 West [3] - 14:23,	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8 tied [1] - 54:10	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 22:7, 24:13, 28:16,	73:5 urge [4] - 25:6,	Welcome [1] - 5:1	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8 tied [1] - 54:10 time period [1] -	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 22:7, 24:13, 28:16, 35:12, 38:5, 39:19,	73:5 <b>urge</b> [4] - 25:6, 30:19, 30:22, 31:19	Welcome [1] - 5:1 West [3] - 14:23,	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8 tied [1] - 54:10 time period [1] - 14:9	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 22:7, 24:13, 28:16, 35:12, 38:5, 39:19, 40:10, 40:11, 41:23,	73:5 <b>urge</b> [4] - 25:6, 30:19, 30:22, 31:19 <b>urges</b> [3] - 22:25,	Welcome [1] - 5:1 West [3] - 14:23, 33:1, 33:5	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8 tied [1] - 54:10 time period [1] - 14:9 timeline [3] - 13:12,	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 22:7, 24:13, 28:16, 35:12, 38:5, 39:19, 40:10, 40:11, 41:23, 45:17, 51:20, 63:12,	73:5 urge [4] - 25:6, 30:19, 30:22, 31:19 urges [3] - 22:25, 27:2, 27:3	Welcome [1] - 5:1 West [3] - 14:23, 33:1, 33:5 Western [1] - 33:3 whole [10] - 17:22,	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8 tied [1] - 54:10 time period [1] - 14:9 timeline [3] - 13:12, 38:21, 61:13	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 22:7, 24:13, 28:16, 35:12, 38:5, 39:19, 40:10, 40:11, 41:23,	73:5 urge [4] - 25:6, 30:19, 30:22, 31:19 urges [3] - 22:25, 27:2, 27:3 useful [5] - 19:12,	Welcome [1] - 5:1 West [3] - 14:23, 33:1, 33:5 Western [1] - 33:3 whole [10] - 17:22, 44:8, 48:4, 55:9,	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8 tied [1] - 54:10 time period [1] - 14:9 timeline [3] - 13:12,	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 22:7, 24:13, 28:16, 35:12, 38:5, 39:19, 40:10, 40:11, 41:23, 45:17, 51:20, 63:12,	73:5 urge [4] - 25:6, 30:19, 30:22, 31:19 urges [3] - 22:25, 27:2, 27:3 useful [5] - 19:12, 25:16, 43:16, 56:19,	Welcome [1] - 5:1 West [3] - 14:23, 33:1, 33:5 Western [1] - 33:3 whole [10] - 17:22, 44:8, 48:4, 55:9, 55:25, 56:21, 58:1,	
thrown [3] - 6:6, 41:8 tied [1] - 54:10 time period [1] - 14:9 timeline [3] - 13:12, 38:21, 61:13	13:6, 14:18, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 22:7, 24:13, 28:16, 35:12, 38:5, 39:19, 40:10, 40:11, 41:23, 45:17, 51:20, 63:12, 67:9, 69:23	73:5 urge [4] - 25:6, 30:19, 30:22, 31:19 urges [3] - 22:25, 27:2, 27:3 useful [5] - 19:12,	Welcome [1] - 5:1 West [3] - 14:23, 33:1, 33:5 Western [1] - 33:3 whole [10] - 17:22, 44:8, 48:4, 55:9,	